

Have the contents of the meeting been well matched?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	No answer
December 2009 Brussels	×					

Has the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficient	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	×					

Your impression of inputs from partners and presentations during the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	×					

Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	×					

Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels		×				

Was the meeting agenda sufficiently flexible to match your expectations?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	×					

Partners were well prepared and had carried out their tasks as agreed for the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	×					

After the meeting you have a clear vision of your upcoming work tasks	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels			x			

Was the meeting seen as a whole appropriate and productive?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels		x				

General comments	
December 2009 Brussels	For me it has been a great opportunity to learn, and I hope to continue to collaborate because I'm very I really think learning foreign languages is important.

Suggestion for new items in the evaluation forms	
December 2009 Brussels	

Have the contents of the meeting been well matched?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	No answer
December 2009 Brussels	X					

Has the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficient	5 ++ X	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels						

Your impression of inputs from partners and presentations during the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/- X	2 -	1 --	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels						

Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions?	5 ++ X	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels						

Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account?	5 ++ X	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels						

Was the meeting agenda sufficiently flexible to match your expectations?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	X					

Partners were well prepared and had carried out their tasks as agreed for the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels		X				

After the meeting you have a clear vision of your upcoming work tasks	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	x					

Was the meeting seen as a whole appropriate and productive?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels		x				

General comments	
December 2009 Brussels	Too long, too many days out. Very well managed, Overall planning and scheduling.

Suggestion for new items in the evaluation forms	
December 2009 Brussels	



Have the contents of the meeting been well matched?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	No answer
December 2009 Brussels	+					

Has the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficient	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels		+				

Your impression of inputs from partners and presentations during the meeting	5 ++ —	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	+					

Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	+					

Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	+					

Was the meeting agenda sufficiently flexible to match your expectations?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels		+				

Partners were well prepared and had carried out their tasks as agreed for the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	+					

After the meeting you have a clear vision of your upcoming work tasks	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels		+				

Was the meeting seen as a whole appropriate and productive?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels		+				

General comments <i>I like the idea to exchange teaching materials and methods, make comments, discuss online.</i>						
December 2009 Brussels						

Suggestion for new items in the evaluation forms	
December 2009 Brussels	<i>No suggestions</i>

Have the contents of the meeting been well matched?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	No answer
December 2009 Brussels	+					

Has the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficient	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	+					

Your impression of inputs from partners and presentations during the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	+					

Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	+					

Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	+					

Was the meeting agenda sufficiently flexible to match your expectations?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	+					

Partners were well prepared and had carried out their tasks as agreed for the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	+					

After the meeting you have a clear vision of your upcoming work tasks	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	+					

Was the meeting seen as a whole appropriate and productive?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	+					

General comments	
December 2009 Brussels	<p>The planning of tasks, timing and content is perfect</p> <p>The team building process was very successful and we make a good team.</p>

Suggestion for new items in the evaluation forms	
December 2009 Brussels	No suggestions so far.



Have the contents of the meeting been well matched?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	No answer
December 2009 Brussels	X					

Has the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficient	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	X					

Your impression of inputs from partners and presentations during the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	X					

Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	X					

Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	X					

Was the meeting agenda sufficiently flexible to match your expectations?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	X					

Partners were well prepared and had carried out their tasks as agreed for the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	X					

After the meeting you have a clear vision of your upcoming work tasks	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	X					

Was the meeting seen as a whole appropriate and productive?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	X					

General comments	<i>Very good meeting Good working environment</i>					
December 2009 Brussels						

Suggestion for new items in the evaluation forms						
December 2009 Brussels						

Have the contents of the meeting been well matched?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	No answer
December 2009 Brussels	X					

Has the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficient	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	X					

Your impression of inputs from partners and presentations during the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	X					

Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	X					

Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels						X

Was the meeting agenda sufficiently flexible to match your expectations?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	X					

Partners were well prepared and had carried out their tasks as agreed for the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels		X				

After the meeting you have a clear vision of your upcoming work tasks	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	X					

Was the meeting seen as a whole appropriate and productive?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	X					

General comments	
December 2009 Brussels	

Suggestion for new items in the evaluation forms	
December 2009 Brussels	



Have the contents of the meeting been well matched?	5 ++ X	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	No answer
December 2009 Brussels						

Has the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficient	5 ++ X	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels						

Your impression of inputs from partners and presentations during the meeting	5 ++	4 + X	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels						

Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions?	5 ++ X	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels						

Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels						

Was the meeting agenda sufficiently flexible to match your expectations?	5 ++ X	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels						


Partners were well prepared and had carried out their tasks as agreed for the meeting	5 ++	4 + X	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels						


After the meeting you have a clear vision of your upcoming work tasks	5 ++ <i>x</i>	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels						


Was the meeting seen as a whole appropriate and productive?	5 ++ <i>x</i>	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels						


General comments	
December 2009 Brussels	<i>Good practice that the partners have to agree ANS commit with regard to the next 6 months' tasks and deadlines</i>


Suggestion for new items in the evaluation forms	
December 2009 Brussels	


Have the contents of the meeting been well matched?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	No answer
December 2009 Brussels						


Has the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficient	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels						

Your impression of inputs from partners and presentations during the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels						

Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels						

Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels						

Was the meeting agenda sufficiently flexible to match your expectations?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels						

Partners were well prepared and had carried out their tasks as agreed for the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels						

After the meeting you have a clear vision of your upcoming work tasks	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	✓					

Was the meeting seen as a whole appropriate and productive?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	✓					

General comments	
December 2009 Brussels	Everything for this project meeting was carefully planned and everyone was well-organized. Thanks to the friendly atmosphere that emerged resulting from the sincere attitudes of the project partners, the sense of a feeling of belonging has appeared.

Suggestion for new items in the evaluation forms	
December 2009 Brussels	I think I will be able to produce some suggestions when I get myself more into the project implementations.



Have the contents of the meeting been well matched?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	No answer
December 2009 Brussels	✓					

Has the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficient	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	✓					

Your impression of inputs from partners and presentations during the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	✓					

Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	✓					

Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	✓					

Was the meeting agenda sufficiently flexible to match your expectations?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels		✓				

Partners were well prepared and had carried out their tasks as agreed for the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	✓					

After the meeting you have a clear vision of your upcoming work tasks	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels		✓				

Was the meeting seen as a whole appropriate and productive?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	✓					

General comments	
December 2009 Brussels	Very well organized and everybody in the project so enthusiastic and helpful. Thanks.

Suggestion for new items in the evaluation forms	
December 2009 Brussels	—

Have the contents of the meeting been well matched?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	No answer
December 2009 Brussels	✓					

Has the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficient	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	✓					

Your impression of inputs from partners and presentations during the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	✓					

Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	✓					

Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	✓					

Was the meeting agenda sufficiently flexible to match your expectations?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels		✓				

Partners were well prepared and had carried out their tasks as agreed for the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	✓					

After the meeting you have a clear vision of your upcoming work tasks	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	✓					

Was the meeting seen as a whole appropriate and productive?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	✓					

General comments	
December 2009 Brussels	

Suggestion for new items in the evaluation forms	
December 2009 Brussels	



Have the contents of the meeting been well matched?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	No answer
December 2009 Brussels	✓					

Has the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficient	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	✓					

Your impression of inputs from partners and presentations during the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	✓					

Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	✓					

Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	✓					

Was the meeting agenda sufficiently flexible to match your expectations?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	✓					

Partners were well prepared and had carried out their tasks as agreed for the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	✓					

After the meeting you have a clear vision of your upcoming work tasks	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	✓					

Was the meeting seen as a whole appropriate and productive?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	✓					

General comments	Wonderful atmosphere and -overall- the feeling a new international team has been created!					
December 2009 Brussels						

Suggestion for new items in the evaluation forms						
December 2009 Brussels	<p>From the next meeting, I would propose an evaluation concerning the previous quarter: how participants worked on each task assigned, how feed-back arrived (when/if), how cooperation worked, what else could be done, etc.</p>					