Have the contents of the meeting been well matched?		4+	3 +/-	2 -	1	No answer
December 2009 Brussels	×					
Has the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficient	5 ++	4+	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	×					
Your impression of inputs from partners and presentations during the meeting	5 ++	4+	3 +/-	2 -	1 	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	×					
Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions?	5 ++	4+	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	×					
Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account?	5 ++	4+	3 +/-	2 -	1 	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels		×				
Was the meeting agenda sufficiently flexible to match your expectations?	5 ++ ,	4+	3 +/-	2 -	1 	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	×					
Partners were well prepared and had carried out their tasks as agreed for the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	\times					

After the meeting you your upcoming work	a have a clear vision of tasks	5 ++	4+	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	December 2009 Brussels			\times			

Was the meeting seen as a whole appropriate and productive?	5 ++	4+	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels		×				

General comments	
December 2009 Brussels	
December 2009 Brussels	For me it has been a great opportunity to
	learn and I hope to continue to
	collaborate becouse I'm very I really think learning foreign languages is important

Suggestion for new items in the evaluation forms							
December 2009 Brussels							

Have the contents of the matched?	e meeting been well	5 ++	4+	3 +/-	2	1	No answer
	December 2009 Brussels	X					
Has the time for worki	ng on themes and	5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
content during the mee		++ ×	+	+/-	-		
	December 2009 Brussels						
Your impression of inp presentations during th		5 ++	4+	3 +/- ×	2 -	1	n.a.
	December 2009 Brussels						
Have there been sufficient possibilities for discuss		5 ++ ×	4+	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	December 2009 Brussels						
Were your own ideas li account?	istened to and taken into	5 ++ ×	4+	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	December 2009 Brussels						
Was the meeting agend match your expectation	la sufficiently flexible to as?	5 ++ ,	4+	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	December 2009 Brussels	×					
Partners were well prep out their tasks as agree		5 ++	4+	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	December 2009 Brussels		×				

After the meeting you have a clear vision of your upcoming work tasks	5 ++	4+	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	×					

Was the meeting seen as a whole appropriate and productive?	5 ++	4+	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels		×				

General comments	
December 2009 Brussels	Too long, too many days out. Very well managed, Overall planning and scheduling.

Suggestion for new items in	the evaluation forms		
	1		
December 2009 Brussels			

Have the contents of the meeting been well matched?		4+	3 +/-	2 -	1	No answer
December 2009 Brussels	+					
Has the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficient	5 ++	4+	3 +/-	2 -	1 	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels		+				
Your impression of inputs from partners and presentations during the meeting	5 ++	4+	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	+					
Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions?	5 ++	4+	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	+					
Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account?	5 ++	4+	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	+					
Was the meeting agenda sufficiently flexible to match your expectations?	5 ++ ,	4+	3 +/-	2 -	1 	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels		+				
Partners were well prepared and had carried out their tasks as agreed for the meeting	5 ++	4+	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	+					

After the meeting you have a clear vision of		4	3	2	1	n.a.
your upcoming work tasks		+	+/-	-		
D 1 0000						
December 2009						
Brussels		1				

Was the meeting seen as a whole appropriate	5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
and productive?	++	+	+/-	-		
December 2009		+				
Brussels		1				

General comments I like	e the idea to	exchange :	teaching	materials
and methods, make a	comments, discu.	s online.	0	
December 2009 Brussels				

Suggestion for new items in	the evaluation forms
December 2009 Brussels	No suggestions

Have the contents of the meeting been well matched?	5 ++	4+	3 +/-	2 -	1	No answer
December 2009 Brussels	+					
Has the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficient	5 ++	4+	3 +/-	2 -	1 	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	+					
Your impression of inputs from partners and presentations during the meeting	5 ++	4+	3 +/-	2 -	1 	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	+					
Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions?	5++	4+	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	+					
Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account?	5 ++	4+	3 +/-	2 -	1 	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	+					
Was the meeting agenda sufficiently flexible to match your expectations?	5 ++ ,	4+	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	+					
Partners were well prepared and had carried out their tasks as agreed for the meeting	5 ++	4+	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	+					

After the meeting you have a clear vision of	5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
your upcoming work tasks		+	+/-	-		
December 2009	4					-
Brussels	1					

Was the meeting seen as a whole appropriate and productive?	5 ++	4+	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	+					

General comments	
December 2009 Brussels	The planning of tasking timing and content is perfect. The team buildoug process was Very success ful and we make a

Suggestion for new items in the evaluation forms					
December 2009 Brussels	Op No suggestions so far.				

Have the contents of the meeting been well matched?	5 ++	4+	3 +/-	2 -	1 	No answer
December 2009 Brussels	X					
Has the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficient	5 ++	4+	3 +/-	2 -	1 	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	X					
Your impression of inputs from partners and presentations during the meeting	5 ++	4+	3 +/-	2 -	1 	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	X					
Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	X					
Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account?	5 ++	4+	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	X					
Was the meeting agenda sufficiently flexible to match your expectations?	5 ++ ,	4+	3 +/-	2 -	1 	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	X					
Partners were well prepared and had carried out their tasks as agreed for the meeting	5 ++	4+	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	X					

After the meeting you have a clear vision of		4	3	2	1	n.a.
your upcoming work tasks		+	+/-	-		
December 2009	12					
Brussels	X					

Was the meeting seen as a whole appropriate	5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
and productive?	++	+	+/-	-		
December 2009	\mathbf{X}					
Brussels	~					

General comments Very good muching Geod working environment					
December 2009 Brussels					
<					

Suggestion for new items in	the evaluation forms
December 2009 Brussels	
Sample Providence	

Have the contents of the meeting been w matched?	vell 5 ++	4+	3 +/-	2 -	1	No answer
December 2009 Brussels	×					
Has the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficie		4+	3 +/-	2 -	1 	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	A					
Your impression of inputs from partners presentations during the meeting	s and 5 ++	4+	3 +/-	2	1 	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	Þ	<				
Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions?	5 ++	4+	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	\sim					
Were your own ideas listened to and tak account?	en into 5 ++	4+	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels						Ķ
Was the meeting agenda sufficiently flex match your expectations?	xible to 5 ++	4+	3 +/-	2 -	1 	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	c	R				
Partners were well prepared and had car out their tasks as agreed for the meeting		4 +	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels		4	_			

After the meeting you your upcoming work	have a clear vision of tasks	5 ++	4+	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
	December 2009	N					
	Brussels	V					

Was the meeting seen as a whole appropriate		4	3	2	1	n.a.
and productive?	++	+	+/-	-		
December 2009	N					
Brussels	N					

General comments	
December 2009 Brussels	

Suggestion for new items in	the evaluation forms	S	
December 2009 Brussels			
n			

Have the contents of the matched?	e meeting been well	5 ++ ~	4+	3 +/-	2 -	1	No answer
	December 2009 Brussels						
Has the time for working content during the meet		5 ++ >⁄	4+	3 +/-	2 -	1 	n.a.
	December 2009 Brussels						
Your impression of inp presentations during th		5 ++	4 + ~	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	December 2009 Brussels		ţ				
Have there been suffice possibilities for discuss		5 ++ ×	4+	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	December 2009 Brussels						
Were your own ideas l account?	istened to and taken into	5 ++	4+	3+/-	2 -	1 	n.a.
	December 2009 Brussels				3		
Was the meeting agend match your expectation	la sufficiently flexible to ns?	5 ++ ≫	4+	3+/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	December 2009 Brussels						
Partners were well prep out their tasks as agree		5 ++	4 + ※	3 +/-	2 -	1 	n.a.
	December 2009 Brussels						

After the meeting you have a clear vision of		4	3	2	1	n.a.
your upcoming work tasks	++	+	+/-		29 BB	
	\bowtie					
December 2009						
Brussels						

Was the meeting seen as a whole appropriate		4	3	2	1	n.a.
and productive?	++	+	+/-	-		
	\propto					
	(
December 2009			2			
Brussels						

General comments	
December 2009 Brussels	Good practice that the partners
	have to appee ANS comit with
	repard to the vext 6 months'
	I Fosks and deadlines

Suggestion for new items in the evaluation forms						
	1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -					
December 2009 Brussels						

Have the contents of the meeting been we matched?	ell 5 ++	4+	3+/-	2 -	1 	No answer
December 2009 Brussels	V					
Has the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficient		4+	3 +/-	2 -	1 	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	V					
Your impression of inputs from partners a presentations during the meeting	ind 5 ++	4+	3 +/-	2	1 	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels						
Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions?	5 ++	4+	3+/-	2 -	1 	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	V	/				
Were your own ideas listened to and taken account?	n into 5 ++	4+	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	V	-				
Was the meeting agenda sufficiently flexi match your expectations?	ble to 5 ++	4+	3 +/-	2 -	1 	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	V					
Partners were well prepared and had carrie out their tasks as agreed for the meeting	ed 5 ++	4+	3 +/-	2 -	1 	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	V					

After the meeting you have a clear vision of		4	3	2	1	n.a.
your upcoming work tasks	++	+	+/-	-		
December 2009						
Brussels	Y					

	as a whole appropriate	5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
and productive?		++	+	+/-	-	B G	
		/					
	December 2009						
	Brussels						

General comments	
	Eversthing for this project meeting wass carefully planned and everyone was well-organized, Thanks to the friendly atmosphere that emerged resulting from the sincere attitudes of the project partners, the sense of a feeling of belonging has appeared.

Suggestion for new items in	the evaluation forms
December 2009 Brussels	I think I will be able to produce some suggestions when the get myself more into the project implementations.

Have the contents of the meeting been well matched?		4+	3 +/-	2 -	1	No answer
December 2009 Brussels	\checkmark					
Has the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficient	5 ++	4+	3 +/-	2 -	1 	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	V					
Your impression of inputs from partners and presentations during the meeting		4+	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	L					
Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	V					
Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account?	5 ++	4+	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	V					
Was the meeting agenda sufficiently flexible to match your expectations?	5 ++ ,	4+	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels		V				
Partners were well prepared and had carried out their tasks as agreed for the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	V					

After the meeting you have a clear vision of		4	3	2	1	n.a.
your upcoming work tasks	++	+	+/-	-	200 000	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
December 2009		1/				
Brussels		L				

Was the meeting seen as a whole appropriate		4	3	2	1	n.a.
and productive?	++	+	+/-	-		
December 2009	1					
Brussels						

General comments	
December 2009 Brussels	Very well organized and everybody in the project so entruitantic and helpfl. Thanks.

the evaluation forms

Have the contents of t matched?	the meeting been well	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 	No answer
-	December 2009 Brussels	V			-		
Has the time for work content during the me		5 ++	4+	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	December 2009 Brussels	V					
Your impression of in presentations during t	puts from partners and he meeting	5 ++	4+	3 +/-	2 -	1 	n.a.
	December 2009 Brussels	V					
Have there been suffi- possibilities for discu-		5 ++	4+	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
4	December 2009 Brussels	V	Ÿ				
Were your own ideas account?	listened to and taken into	5 ++	4+	3 +/-	2 -	1 	n.a.
	December 2009 Brussels	V					
Was the meeting ager match your expectation	nda sufficiently flexible to ons?	5	4+	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	December 2009 Brussels		V				
Partners were well pro out their tasks as agre	epared and had carried ed for the meeting	5 ++	4+	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	December 2009 Brussels	V					

After the meeting you have a clear vision of your upcoming work tasks	5 ++	4+	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	V					

Was the meeting seen as a whole appropriate		4	3	2	1	n.a.
and productive?	++	+	+/-	-		
December 2009 Brussels	V					

General comments			
December 2009 Brussels			,

Suggestion for new items in	the evaluation forms
December 2009 Brussels	

Have the contents of the meeting been well matched?		4+	3 +/-	2 -	1	No answer
December 2009 Brussels	\checkmark					
Has the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficient		4+	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	\checkmark					
Your impression of inputs from partners and presentations during the meeting	5 ++	4+	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	~					
Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions?		4+	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	/					
Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account?		4+	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	V					
Was the meeting agenda sufficiently flexible to match your expectations?		4+	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	\checkmark					
Partners were well prepared and had carried out their tasks as agreed for the meeting		4+	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
December 2009 Brussels	\checkmark					

After the meeting you have a clear vision of		5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
your upcoming work tasks		++	+	+/-	-	an ca	
			e				
December 2009				-			
	Brussels	\checkmark					

Was the meeting seen as a whole appropriate and productive?		5 ++	4+	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	ecember 2009 ussels	\checkmark					

General comments Word new international f	erful atmosphere and -overall-the feeling a eam has been created!
December 2009 Brussels	

Suggestion for new items in	the evaluation forms
December 2009 Brussels	From the next meeting, I would propose an evaluation concerning the previous quarter: how participants worked on each task assigned, how feed-back
	arrived (when /if), how cooperation worked what else could be done, etc.