

INTERNAL EVALUATION POOLS-M LLP-LdV-ToI-2009/DK-902

Have the contents of the meeting been well matched?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	No answer
November 2010 Pistoia	+					

Has the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficient	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia	+					

Your impression of inputs from partners and presentations during the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia	+					

Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia	+					

Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia	+					

Was the meeting agenda sufficiently flexible to match your expectations?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia	+					

Partners were well prepared and had carried out their tasks as agreed for the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia	+					

After the meeting you have a clear vision of your upcoming work tasks	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia	+					

Was the meeting seen as a whole appropriate and productive?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia	+					

General comments	The course conducted by the Danish team was very successful and it gave good ideas for the course in LT.					
November 2010 Pistoia	The Danish team are excellent coordinators, and the atmosphere of the meeting is always very positive.					

Suggestion for new items in the evaluation forms	
November 2010 Pistoia	

INTERNAL EVALUATION POOLS-M LLP-LdV-ToI-2009/DK-902

Have the contents of the meeting been well matched?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	No answer
November 2010 Pistoia	✓					

Has the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficient	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia	✓					

Your impression of inputs from partners and presentations during the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia	✓					

Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia	✓					

Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia	✓					

Was the meeting agenda sufficiently flexible to match your expectations?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia	✓					

Partners were well prepared and had carried out their tasks as agreed for the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia	✓					

After the meeting you have a clear vision of your upcoming work tasks	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia	✓					

Was the meeting seen as a whole appropriate and productive?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia	✓					

General comments	
November 2010 Pistoia	

Suggestion for new items in the evaluation forms	
November 2010 Pistoia	

Have the contents of the meeting been well matched?	5 ++ X	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	No answer
November 2010 Pistoia						

Has the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficient	5 ++ X	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia						

Your impression of inputs from partners and presentations during the meeting	5 ++ X	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia						


Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions?	5 ++ X	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia						

Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account?	5 ++ X	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia						

Was the meeting agenda sufficiently flexible to match your expectations?	5 ++ X	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia						

Partners were well prepared and had carried out their tasks as agreed for the meeting	5 ++ X	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia						

After the meeting you have a clear vision of your upcoming work tasks	5 ++ X	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia						

Was the meeting seen as a whole appropriate and productive?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia						

General comments	
November 2010 Pistoia	

Suggestion for new items in the evaluation forms	
November 2010 Pistoia	

INTERNAL EVALUATION POOLS-M LLP-LdV-ToI-2009/DK-902

Have the contents of the meeting been well matched?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	No answer
November 2010 Pistoia	X					

Has the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficient	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia		X				

Your impression of inputs from partners and presentations during the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia	X					

Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia	X					

Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia	X					

Was the meeting agenda sufficiently flexible to match your expectations?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia		X				

Partners were well prepared and had carried out their tasks as agreed for the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia		X				

After the meeting you have a clear vision of your upcoming work tasks	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia	X					

Was the meeting seen as a whole appropriate and productive?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia	X					

General comments	
November 2010 Pistoia	

Suggestion for new items in the evaluation forms	
November 2010 Pistoia	

INTERNAL EVALUATION POOLS-M LLP-LdV-ToI-2009/DK-902

Have the contents of the meeting been well matched?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	No answer
November 2010 Pistoia	✓					

Has the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficient	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia	✓					

Your impression of inputs from partners and presentations during the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia	✓					

Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia	✓					

Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia	✓					

Was the meeting agenda sufficiently flexible to match your expectations?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia	✓					

Partners were well prepared and had carried out their tasks as agreed for the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia	✓					

After the meeting you have a clear vision of your upcoming work tasks	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia	✓					

Was the meeting seen as a whole appropriate and productive?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia	✓					

General comments	
November 2010 Pistoia	well organised, a good example of presentations of the manuals. Really happy to be part of this team.

Suggestion for new items in the evaluation forms	
November 2010 Pistoia	

INTERNAL EVALUATION POOLS-M LLP-LdV-ToI-2009/DK-902

Have the contents of the meeting been well matched?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	No answer
November 2010 Pistoia	✓					

Has the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficient	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia	✓					

Your impression of inputs from partners and presentations during the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia	✓					

Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia	✓					

Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia	✓					

Was the meeting agenda sufficiently flexible to match your expectations?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia	✓					

Partners were well prepared and had carried out their tasks as agreed for the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia	✓					

After the meeting you have a clear vision of your upcoming work tasks	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia		✓				

Was the meeting seen as a whole appropriate and productive?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia	✓					

General comments	
November 2010 Pistoia	

Suggestion for new items in the evaluation forms	
November 2010 Pistoia	

INTERNAL EVALUATION POOLS-M LLP-LdV-ToI-2009/DK-902

Have the contents of the meeting been well matched?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	No answer
November 2010 Pistoia	✗					

Has the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficient	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia		✗				

Your impression of inputs from partners and presentations during the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia						✗

Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia			✗			

Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia	✗					

Was the meeting agenda sufficiently flexible to match your expectations?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia	✗					

Partners were well prepared and had carried out their tasks as agreed for the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia			✗			

After the meeting you have a clear vision of your upcoming work tasks	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia		✗				

Was the meeting seen as a whole appropriate and productive?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia		✓				

General comments	
November 2010 Pistoia	

Suggestion for new items in the evaluation forms	
November 2010 Pistoia	

INTERNAL EVALUATION POOLS-M LLP-LdV-ToI-2009/DK-902

Have the contents of the meeting been well matched?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	No answer
November 2010 Pistoia	×					

Has the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficient	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia	×					

Your impression of inputs from partners and presentations during the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia	×					

Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia	×					

Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia	×					

Was the meeting agenda sufficiently flexible to match your expectations?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia	×					

Partners were well prepared and had carried out their tasks as agreed for the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia		×				

After the meeting you have a clear vision of your upcoming work tasks	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia	λ					

Was the meeting seen as a whole appropriate and productive?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia	x					

General comments	
November 2010 Pistoia	All partners are very good at working together and bringing their own ideas, listening to others - All in all a great meeting

Suggestion for new items in the evaluation forms	
November 2010 Pistoia	

INTERNAL EVALUATION POOLS-M LLP-LdV-ToI-2009/DK-902

Have the contents of the meeting been well matched?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	No answer
November 2010 Pistoia	✗					

Has the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficient	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia	✗					

Your impression of inputs from partners and presentations during the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia	✗					

Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia	✗					

Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia	✗					

Was the meeting agenda sufficiently flexible to match your expectations?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia	✗					

Partners were well prepared and had carried out their tasks as agreed for the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia	✗					

After the meeting you have a clear vision of your upcoming work tasks	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia	✗					

Was the meeting seen as a whole appropriate and productive?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia	8					

General comments	
November 2010 Pistoia	

Suggestion for new items in the evaluation forms	
November 2010 Pistoia	

Have the contents of the meeting been well matched?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	No answer
November 2010 Pistoia	X					

Has the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficient	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia	X					

Your impression of inputs from partners and presentations during the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia	X					

Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia	X					

Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia	X					

Was the meeting agenda sufficiently flexible to match your expectations?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia	X					

Partners were well prepared and had carried out their tasks as agreed for the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia	X					

After the meeting you have a clear vision of your upcoming work tasks	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia	X					

Was the meeting seen as a whole appropriate and productive?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia	X					

General comments	
November 2010 Pistoia	

Suggestion for new items in the evaluation forms	
November 2010 Pistoia	

INTERNAL EVALUATION POOLS-M LLP-LdV-ToI-2009/DK-902

Have the contents of the meeting been well matched?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	No answer
November 2010 Pistoia	✓					

Has the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficient	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia	✓					

Your impression of inputs from partners and presentations during the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia	✓					

Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia	✓					

Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia	✓					

Was the meeting agenda sufficiently flexible to match your expectations?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia	✓					

Partners were well prepared and had carried out their tasks as agreed for the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia	✓					

After the meeting you have a clear vision of your upcoming work tasks	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia	✓					

Was the meeting seen as a whole appropriate and productive?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia	✓					

General comments	
<i>Great team, professional advices and help.</i>	
November 2010 Pistoia	<i>To my mind we all got professional experience, good examples how to work during the future teachers' training course as well as intercultural experience.</i>

Suggestion for new items in the evaluation forms	
November 2010 Pistoia	<i>To prepare online evaluation forms to fill them and get fast results.</i>

Have the contents of the meeting been well matched?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	No answer
November 2010 Pistoia	✓					

Has the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficient	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia	✓					

Your impression of inputs from partners and presentations during the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia	✓					

Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia	✓					

Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia	✓					

Was the meeting agenda sufficiently flexible to match your expectations?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia	✓					

Partners were well prepared and had carried out their tasks as agreed for the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia	✓					

After the meeting you have a clear vision of your upcoming work tasks	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia	✓					

Was the meeting seen as a whole appropriate and productive?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
November 2010 Pistoia	✓					

General comments	I wish the time allotted for the teacher training course could have been expanded a bit more. Apart from that, everything was OK!					
November 2010 Pistoia						

Suggestion for new items in the evaluation forms						
November 2010 Pistoia						

Marmar Private Education Institutions
 Burak Demirkazık
