Have the contents of the meeting been well matched?		5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	No answer
	September 2010	V					
Has the time for work content during the mo	king on themes and eeting been sufficient	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	September 2010	V					
Your impression of in presentations during	nputs from partners and the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	September 2010		V				
Have there been suffi possibilities for discu		5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	September 2010	V					
Were your own ideas account?	listened to and taken into	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	September 2010	1					
Was the meeting ager match your expectation	nda sufficiently flexible to ons?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
`	September 2010	V					
Partners were well prout their tasks as agree	epared and had carried eed for the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	September 2010		V				
After the meeting you your upcoming work	ı have a clear vision of tasks	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	September 2010	V					

Was the meeting seen and productive?	n as a whole appropriate	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	September 2010	V					

General comments	
September 2010	Despite some technical problems (eg. internet connection) from time to time everything event of smoothly.

Suggestion for new items i	n the evaluation forms
September 2010	pienises & foculities
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Switzerland

Have the contents of matched?	the meeting been well	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	No answer
	September 2010	++					
Has the time for work content during the mo	king on themes and eeting been sufficient	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	September 2010	++					
Your impression of in presentations during	nputs from partners and the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
,	September 2010	1+					
Have there been suffi possibilities for discu		5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	September 2010	++					4
Were your own ideas account?	listened to and taken into	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	September 2010	++					
Was the meeting ager match your expectation	nda sufficiently flexible to ons?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	September 2010	++					, i.
Partners were well prout their tasks as agree	epared and had carried eed for the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
L	September 2010	1+					
After the meeting you your upcoming work	have a clear vision of tasks	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	September 2010	++					

Was the meeting seen as a whole appropriate and productive?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
September 2010	++					

General comments	
	Thanks very much for the coordination
September 2010	
	Kenitis organisation is Great
	Kenitis organisation is Great My work is clear and now I
	have a teacher with me
	I know is not easy but may be you could think about
	may be you could think about
	Mac I was a little lost
	ceith the softune

Suggestion for new items in	the evaluation forms
September 2010	The hôtels and the meeting roon facilities.

Have the contents of matched?	the meeting been well	5 ++	4 +	3+/-	2	1	No answer
	September 2010	i		-			
Has the time for work content during the mo	king on themes and eeting been sufficient	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	September 2010	/					
Your impression of in presentations during	nputs from partners and the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	September 2010		V				
Have there been suffi possibilities for discu		5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	September 2010	/					
Were your own ideas account?	listened to and taken into	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	September 2010	/					
Was the meeting ager match your expectation	nda sufficiently flexible to ons?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
2000	September 2010	V					
Partners were well prout their tasks as agree	epared and had carried sed for the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	September 2010		/				
After the meeting you your upcoming work	have a clear vision of tasks	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	September 2010						

Was the meeting seen as a whole appropriate	5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
and productive?	++	+	+/-	-		
	/					
September 2010		/45				

General comments	
September 2010	The problem with the
	Internet connection was
	a drawback at times.
	All participant shared great enthousiasm and
	great enthouseasm and
	Fried to make the workshop a success, which it was.
	a success, which it was.

	the evaluation forms
September 2010	Accommodation Meeting locations!

Have the contents of the meeting been well matched?			4 +	3 +/-	2	1	No answer
					,		
	September 2010	X					
Has the time for world	5	4	3	2	1	n.a.	
content during the m	eeting been sufficient	++	+	+/-	-		
	September 2010	X					
		1.5	T 4			1	T
	nputs from partners and	5 ++	4+	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
presentations during	me meeting	++	+	+/-	-		
	September 2010	X					
Have there been suff	icient time and	5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
possibilities for discu	issions?	++	+	+/-	-		
	September 2010	X					
Were your own ideas	listened to and taken into	5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
account?	distributed and taken into	++	+	+/-	_	1	11.a.
			'	'			
	September 2010	X					
	nda sufficiently flexible to	5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
match your expectati	ons?	++	+	+/-	-		
1 0000	September 2010		X				
_	repared and had carried	5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
out their tasks as agree	eed for the meeting	++	+	+/-	-		
	September 2010		X				
	•	7					
	a have a clear vision of	5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
your upcoming work	tasks	4+	+	+/-	-		
	September 2010	X					

Was the meeting seen as a whole appropriate and productive?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
September 2010	X					

General comments	Good	workshop	and meeting: reny mre work done!
September 2010			
	-		

Suggestion for new items in	the evaluation for	rms		
September 2010				
A 7				
,	A.	**		

Have the contents of the meeting been well matched?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	No answer
September 2010	X					
Has the time for working on themes and	5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
content during the meeting been sufficient	++	+	+/-	\ <u>-</u>		
September 2010	X					
Your impression of inputs from partners and	5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
presentations during the meeting	++	+	+/-	_		II.a.
September 2010	X					× 1
Have there been sufficient time and	5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
possibilities for discussions?	++	+	+/-	-		
September 2010	\times					
Ware the state of t		14			1	
Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account?	o 5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
		'				
September 2010		X				
Was the meeting agenda sufficiently flexible t		4	3	2	1	n.a.
match your expectations?	++	+	+/-	-		
September 2010		X				
Partners were well prepared and had carried	5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
out their tasks as agreed for the meeting	++	+	+/-	_		11.4.
September 2010	X					
After the meeting year house a clean vision of	- F	1	12		1	
After the meeting you have a clear vision of your upcoming work tasks	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
John appointing Work thousand		'	'/-			
September 2010		X				

Was the meeting seen as a whole appropriate and productive?			5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	Septen	nber 2010	×.					
General comments	01	<						
September 2010								
Suggestion for new it	ems in t	he evaluation form	ns				ı	
September 2010								
			, ×					

Was the meeting seen as a whole appropriate and productive?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
September 2010	λ					

General comments	
September 2010	Very good preparation by partner. Explanations were very clear: Moughe more time was reeded for certain exercises.

Suggestion for new items	in the evaluation forms
September 2010	what about feeling between teacher and students? Class atmosphere?

Have the contents of the meeting been well matched?		5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2	1	No answer
	September 2010		X				
				1			
	Has the time for working on themes and			3	2	1	n.a.
content during the m	eeting been sufficient	++	+	+/-	-		
	September 2010		×				
	Septemoor 2010						
Your impression of i	nputs from partners and	5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
presentations during		++	+	+/-	_		
	· ·						
	September 2010						
	September 2010	LX					
Have there been suff	icient time and	5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
possibilities for discu	issions?	++	+	+/-	_		
	Santambar 2010						
	September 2010		X				
Were your own ideas	s listened to and taken into	5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
account?		++	+	+/-	-		
	September 2010	X					
	Septement 2010						
Was the meeting age	nda sufficiently flexible to	5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
match your expectati	ons?	++	+	+/-	-		
	September 2010						
	September 2010						
Partners were well pr	repared and had carried	5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
out their tasks as agre		++	+	+/-	_		
	_						
1	Cantamban 2010		1				
	September 2010		X				
After the meeting vo	u have a clear vision of	5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
your upcoming work		++	+	+/-	_		11.4.
			٨.				
	September 2010		X				

2		5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	No answer
	G . 1 2010	.,			,		
	September 2010	X_					
I .	Has the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficient		4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	September 2010	X					
Your impression of in presentations during	nputs from partners and the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	September 2010		X				
Have there been suffi	icient time and	5	4	3	2	1	
possibilities for discu		++	+	+/-	-		n.a.
	September 2010	X					
Were your own ideas account?	listened to and taken into	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	September 2010	X					
Was the meeting agermatch your expectation	nda sufficiently flexible to ons?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
page 1	September 2010	X					
1	Partners were well prepared and had carried out their tasks as agreed for the meeting		4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	September 2010	X					
	After the meeting you have a clear vision of your upcoming work tasks		4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	September 2010	X					

Was the meeting seen as a whole appropriate and productive?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
September 2010	4					

General comments	
September 2010	The work environment or ambience has
	been very selions.
	The teams are anthusiastic and very
	responsible.
	The Kent's coordination super.
	Thomas a lot.
	Resole has been very hospitality. The driver perfect (Sing).
	The driver perfect (timg).

the evaluation forms				
About accomodation. About meeting room poilities.				
About meeting soom polities.				
, *				

Have the contents of the meeting been well matched?		5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2	1	No answer
	September 2010						
Has the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficient		5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	September 2010		V				
Your impression of inputs from partners and presentations during the meeting		5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	September 2010						
		v					
Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions?		5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	September 2010	V					
Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account?		5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	September 2010	V					
Was the meeting agenda sufficiently flexible to match your expectations?		5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	September 2010	V					
Partners were well prepared and had carried out their tasks as agreed for the meeting		5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	September 2010	V					
After the meeting you have a clear vision of your upcoming work tasks		5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	September 2010	V					

Was the meeting seen as a whole appropriate and productive?		5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	September 2010	1 V					
General comments		P pro-philips and and	30.00				
September 2010							
	7						
					-		
Suggestion for new it	ems in the evaluation form	ns	,				
September 2010						***************************************	
		×					