Have the contents of	the meeting been well	5	4	3	2	1	No
matched?		++	+	+/-	-		answer
					1		
	December 2008 in						
	Hoorn						
	March 2009	/	-				
	September 2009						
	March 2010						
	September 2010						
Has the time for work		5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
content during the m	eeting been sufficient	++	+	+/-	-		
	D 1 2000:						
	December 2008 in						
	Hoorn March 2009		-				-
		$+\times$					
	September 2009						
March 2010							
	September 2010						
	1 C 1	T =	1			1	See and
	nputs from partners and	5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
presentations during	the meeting	++	+	+/-	-		
	December 2008 in						
	Hoorn						
	March 2009	-					
	September 2009		1				
	March 2010						
	September 2010	-					
	September 2010						
		8					
Have there been suff	icient time and	5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
possibilities for discu		++	+	+/-	_		11.4.
possionities for disce				''			
	December 2008 in						
	Hoorn						
	March 2009	~					
	September 2009	/					
	March 2010						
	September 2010				+	-	
	Soptemoer 2010						

Were your own ideas account?	listened to and taken into	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	December 2008 in						
	Hoorn						
	March 2009	~					
	September 2009						
	March 2010						
	September 2010						
	~ optomoti zoro						
Was the meeting age	nda sufficiently flexible to	5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
match your expectation		++	+	+/-	_		
	_						
	December 2008 in						
	Hoorn						
	March 2009	\times	-				
	September 2009						
	March 2010						
	September 2010						
			_				
	epared and had carried	5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
out their tasks as agre	eed for the meeting	++	+	+/-	-		
	December 2008 in				+		
	Hoorn						
	March 2009		×		+		
	September 2009			1			
	March 2010						
	September 2010						
	1	<u> </u>	8				
After the meeting voi	a have a clear vision of	5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
your upcoming work		++	+	+/-	-		10 day 5
		Y					
	December 2008 in	-					
	Hoorn						
	March 2009	\times					
	September 2009						
	March 2010						
	September 2010						

	en as a whole appropriate	5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
and productive?		++	+	+/_	-		
	December 2008 in			_	-		
	Hoorn						
	March 2009	-X					
	September 2009						
	March 2010						
	September 2010						
General comments							
Concrar Comments							
December 2008 in	Hoorn						
March 2009							
September 2009							
September 2009							
March 2010							
September 2010							
Suggestion for new	items in the evaluation form	ns					
December 2008 in 1	Hoorn						
March 2009							
Santambar 2000							
September 2009							
March 2010							
		-					
September 2010							

Have the contents of	the meeting been well	5	4	3	2	1	No
matched?	vare and evang even wen	++	+	+/-	1		answer
materiea:		1 ' '	1 '	'/-			answer
Marketon Barrer on Australia Control of the Control	December 2008 in		V				
	Hoorn						
	March 2009		V				
	September 2009						
	March 2010						
	September 2010						
	1			1			
Has the time for wor	king on themes and	5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
	eeting been sufficient	++	+	+/-	_		
	December 2008 in						
	Hoorn	,					
	March 2009	N					
	September 2009						
	March 2010						
	September 2010						
Your impression of i	nputs from partners and	5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
presentations during		++	+	+/-	_		
	C						
	December 2008 in						
	Hoorn						
	March 2009	\mathcal{N}					
	September 2009						
	March 2010						
	September 2010						
		-					
Have there been suff	icient time and	5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
possibilities for discussions?		++	+	+/-	-		
	1						
	December 2008 in						
	Hoorn						
	March 2009	V		1			
	and the second s						
	September 2009						
	and the second s						

Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account?			4	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
December 200	8 in						
Hoorn							
March 2009		V					
September 200	9						
March 2010							
September 201	0						
Was the meeting agenda sufficiently	flexible to 5		4	3	2	1	n.a.
match your expectations?	++		+	+/-	-		n.a.
match your expectations?			'		_		
December 200	Q in						
Hoorn	0 111						
March 2009		V					1
September 200							
March 2010							
Residence of Administration (Control of Control of Cont	0	-					
September 201	0						
Partners were well prepared and had	carried 5		4	3	2	1	n.a.
out their tasks as agreed for the meeti	CONTROL CONTROL OF CON		+	+/-	-		n.a.
out that there us agreed for the first	8						
December 200	8 in						
Hoorn		,					
March 2009		V					
September 200	9						
March 2010							
September 201	0						
September 201							
After the meeting you have a clear vis	sion of 5	4	4	3	2	1	n.a.
your upcoming work tasks	++		+	+/-	-		
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,							
		¥					
December 200	8 in						
Hoorn					=		
March 2009		V					
September 200	9						
March 2010							
September 201	0						

		5					T
Was the meeting seen	Was the meeting seen as a whole appropriate		4	3	2	1	n.a.
and productive?		++	+	+/-	-		
_							
	December 2008 in			+			
	Hoorn	1/					
	March 2009			+			
		+-V-					
	September 2009						
	March 2010						
	September 2010						
		•	•				
General comments							
D 1 20001 Y							
December 2008 in H	oorn						
March 2009							
September 2009							
The second secon							
March 2010							
1,141,011,2010							
Sontambor 2010							
September 2010							

Suggestion for new items in	he evaluation forms	=
December 2008 in Hoorn		
December 2008 in noom		
March 2009		
Iviai di 2009		
September 2009		
1		
26 1 2010		
March 2010		
	8	
September 2010		
September 2010		

Have the contents of the meeting been well matched?		5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	No answer
	December 2008 in Hoorn						
	March 2009						
	September 2009						
	March 2010						
	September 2010						
TT 1 1 0			т.				
Has the time for wor		5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
content during the m	eeting been sufficient	++	+	+/-	-		
	December 2008 in						
	Hoorn						
	March 2009						
	September 2009						
	March 2010						
	September 2010						
	September 2010						
Your impression of i presentations during	nputs from partners and the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	December 2008 in Hoorn						
	March 2009						
	September 2009						
	March 2010						1
	September 2010						
	-						
Have there been suff	icient time and	5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
possibilities for discussions?		++	+	+/-	-		
	December 2008 in						
	Hoorn		1				
	March 2009						
	September 2009						
	March 2010						
	September 2010						

Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account?		4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
December 2008 in						
Hoorn						
March 2009						
September 2009						
March 2010						
September 2010						
1						
Was the meeting agenda sufficiently flexible to	5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
match your expectations?	++	+	+/-	-		
1 2000						
December 2008 in						
Hoorn	 	-				
March 2009	V	-				
September 2009						
March 2010						
September 2010						
D . 11 1 1 1 1 1	T =	T 4			1	
Partners were well prepared and had carried	5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
out their tasks as agreed for the meeting	++	+	+/-	-	-	
December 2008 in	-					
Hoorn						
March 2009	-					
September 2009						
March 2010						
		-		-		
September 2010						
After the meeting you have a clear vision of	5	4	3	2	1	70
your upcoming work tasks	++	+	+/-	2	1	n.a.
your upcoming work tasks			1/-	-		
<u> </u>	>					
December 2008 in						
Hoorn	/					
March 2009	V					
September 2009						
March 2010						
September 2010	+	1				

Was the meeting seen	Was the meeting seen as a whole appropriate		4	3	2	1	n.a.
and productive?		++	+	+/-	-		
	December 2008 in						
	Hoorn						
	March 2009						
	September 2009						
	March 2010						
	September 2010						

General comments	
December 2008 in Hoorn	
Beecineer 2000 in 1100in	2.4
March 2009	Roductor exchange of glas. Busines
September 2009	
March 2010	
September 2010	

Suggestion for new items in	the evaluation forms
December 2008 in Hoorn	
March 2009	
September 2009	
March 2010	·
September 2010	

December 2008 in Hoorn	Have the contents of matched?	Have the contents of the meeting been well matched?		4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	No answer
March 2009 4		December 2008 in				+		
September 2009 March 2010 September 2008 in Hoorn March 2010 September 2010 Sep		Control of portion and the control of the control o						
March 2010 September 2010 September 2010 September 2010 September 2010 September 2010 September 2008 in Hoorn March 2009 September 2010 September 2010 September 2010 September 2010 September 2009 March 2010 September 2010 Septemb		March 2009		4				
Has the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficient								
Has the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficient		March 2010					6	
December 2008 in Hoorn Hoo		September 2010						
December 2008 in Hoorn Hoo	Has the time for wor	king on themes and	5	1	2	2	1	70
December 2008 in Hoorn					1			II.a.
Hoorn March 2009 5	content during the m	ecting been sufficient	1.1.1	S-11-5	-/-	-		
Hoorn March 2009 5		December 2008 in						
March 2009 5		TOTAL SECTION NO. 100-10000000 SERVICE SELECTION OF DEED TOTAL DESCRIPTION						
September 2009 March 2010 September 2008 in Hoorn March 2009 March 2010 September 2010 Septemb			5					
March 2010 September 2008 in Hoorn March 2009 A September 2010 September 2010 September 2010 September 2010 September 2010 September 2009 September 2010 S		September 2009						
September 2010								
Your impression of inputs from partners and presentations during the meeting					_			
December 2008 in Hoorn Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions? Hoorn Hoor		Septemoer 2010						
December 2008 in Hoorn Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions? Hoorn Hoor	Your impression of i	inputs from partners and	5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
December 2008 in Hoorn				1				
Hoorn								
March 2009		December 2008 in						
September 2009		Hoorn						
March 2010 September 2008 in Hoorn March 2009 September 2009 March 2010 March 2010 September 2		March 2009		4				
Name of the property of the second		September 2009						
Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions? A		March 2010						
Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions? A		September 2010						
December 2008 in Hoorn March 2009 September 2009 March 2010 March 2010 March 2010 Hoorn Hoor			*					
December 2008 in Hoorn March 2009 September 2009 March 2010 March 2010 March 2010 Hoorn Hoor	Have there been suff	icient time and	5	4	3	2.	1	n.a
December 2008 in Hoorn March 2009 September 2009 March 2010			1					11.41
Hoorn March 2009 5 September 2009 March 2010	1							
Hoorn March 2009 5 September 2009 March 2010		December 2008 in						
September 2009 March 2010		Hoorn						
September 2009 March 2010			5					
March 2010								
		The state of the s						
DOUBLING AVIV		September 2010						

Were your own idea account?	Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account?		4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	December 2008 in Hoorn						
	March 2009	5					
	September 2009						
	March 2010						
	September 2010						
, and the same of	enda sufficiently flexible to	5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
match your expectat	ions?	++	+	+/-	-		
	December 2008 in				-		
	Hoorn						
	March 2009	5	_		1		
	September 2009						
	March 2010				_		
	September 2010						
	Septemoer 2010						
Partners were well p	repared and had carried	5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
out their tasks as agr		++	+	+/-	_		12.000
	,						
	December 2008 in						
	Hoorn						
	March 2009	5					
	September 2009						
	March 2010						
	September 2010						
After the meeting yo	u have a clear vision of	5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
your upcoming work	tasks	++	+	+/-	-		
		¥					
	D12009 :						
	December 2008 in					1	1
	December 2008 in Hoorn						
		5					
	Hoorn March 2009	5					
	Hoorn	5					

Was the meeting seen as a whole appropriate and productive?		5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	December 2008 in Hoorn						
	March 2009		4				
	September 2009						
	March 2010						
	September 2010						
General comments							
-		7					
December 2008 in I	Hoorn						
March 2009							
September 2009							
March 2010							
September 2010							
Suggestion for new	items in the evaluation form	ns					
December 2008 in F	Hoorn						
March 2009					,		
September 2009							
March 2010		ý					

September 2010

Have the contents of	the meeting been well	5	4	3	2	1	No
matched?	the meeting been wen	++	+	+/-	_		answer
matched:		1 ' '		'/-	-		allswei
	December 2008 in						
	Hoorn						
	March 2009	V					
	September 2009						
	March 2010						
	September 2010						
	T						
Has the time for work	king on themes and	5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
	eeting been sufficient	++	+	+/-	_		
	December 2008 in						
	Hoorn						
	March 2009	V					
	September 2009						
	March 2010						
	September 2010						
Your impression of i	nputs from partners and	5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
presentations during		++	+	+/-	-		(30)30000000000000000000000000000000000
	-						
	1						
	December 2008 in						
	Hoorn						
	March 2009	V					
	September 2009						
	March 2010						
	September 2010						
		*					
Have there been suff		5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
possibilities for discu	issions?	++	+	+/-	-		
	December 2008 in						
	Hoorn						
	March 2009	V					
	September 2009						
	March 2010						
	September 2010	1					

-	Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account?		4 +	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
		++					
	December 2008 in						
	Hoorn						
	March 2009	~					
	September 2009						
	March 2010		1				
	September 2010						
Was the meeting ag	enda sufficiently flexible to	5	4	3	2	1	
) ++	+	+/-	_	1	n.a.
maten your expectat	match your expectations?			1/-	-		
	December 2008 in						
	Hoorn						
	March 2009						
	September 2009						
	March 2010						
	September 2010						
Partners were well m	repared and had carried	5	4	3	2	1	
out their tasks as agr) ++	+	+/-	-	1	n.a.
out their tasks as agi	ced for the meeting			'/-	-		
	December 2008 in		+				
	Hoorn						
	March 2009	V					
	September 2009						
	March 2010						
	September 2010						
After the meeting vo	ou have a clear vision of	5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
your upcoming work		++	+	+/-	_		11.00.
	December 2008 in						
	December 2000 m						
	Hoorn						
		V					
	Hoorn March 2009	V					
	Hoorn	V					

December 2008 in Hoom	Was the meeting see and productive?	en as a whole appropriate	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
Hoorn March 2009 September 2009 March 2010 September 2009 September 2009 September 2010 September 2010 September 2010 September 2010 September 2010 September 2010 September 2009 Sept								
March 2009 September 2009 March 2010 September 2010 General comments December 2008 in Hoorn March 2009 September 2009 March 2010 September 2010 September 2010 September 2009 March 2010 September 2010 September 2010 September 2010 Suggestion for new items in the evaluation forms December 2008 in Hoorn March 2009 September 2009 September 2009		December 2008 in						
September 2009 March 2010 September 2010 General comments December 2008 in Hoorn March 2009 September 2009 March 2010 September 2010 September 2010 September 2010 September 2010 Suggestion for new items in the evaluation forms December 2008 in Hoorn March 2009 September 2009 September 2009		Hoorn						
March 2010 September 2010 General comments December 2008 in Hoorn March 2009 September 2010 September 2010 Suggestion for new items in the evaluation forms December 2008 in Hoorn March 2009 September 2008 in Hoorn March 2009 September 2009		March 2009						
September 2010 General comments December 2008 in Hoorn March 2009 September 2010 September 2010 Suggestion for new items in the evaluation forms December 2008 in Hoorn March 2009 September 2009 September 2008 in Hoorn March 2009 September 2009								
General comments December 2008 in Hoorn March 2009 September 2009 March 2010 September 2010 Suggestion for new items in the evaluation forms December 2008 in Hoorn March 2009 September 2009		March 2010						
December 2008 in Hoorn March 2009 September 2009 March 2010 September 2010 Suggestion for new items in the evaluation forms December 2008 in Hoorn March 2009 September 2009		September 2010						
March 2009 September 2009 March 2010 September 2010 Suggestion for new items in the evaluation forms December 2008 in Hoorn March 2009 September 2009	General comments							
September 2009 March 2010 September 2010 Suggestion for new items in the evaluation forms December 2008 in Hoorn March 2009 September 2009	December 2008 in H	Ioorn						
March 2010 September 2010 Suggestion for new items in the evaluation forms December 2008 in Hoorn March 2009 September 2009	March 2009							
September 2010 Suggestion for new items in the evaluation forms December 2008 in Hoorn March 2009 September 2009	September 2009							
Suggestion for new items in the evaluation forms December 2008 in Hoorn March 2009 September 2009	March 2010				The second secon			
December 2008 in Hoorn March 2009 September 2009	September 2010						***************************************	
December 2008 in Hoorn March 2009 September 2009								
March 2009 September 2009	Suggestion for new	items in the evaluation form	ns					
March 2009 September 2009								
September 2009	December 2008 in H	Ioorn						
	March 2009							
March 2010	September 2009							
	March 2010		,					

September 2010

Have the contents of	the meeting been well	5	4	3	2	1	No
matched?	-	++	+	+/-	-		answer
	December 2008 in						
	Hoorn						
	March 2009		X				
	September 2009						
	March 2010						
	September 2010						
Has the time for wor	king on themes and	5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
content during the m	eeting been sufficient	++	+	+/-	-		
	D 1 00001						
	December 2008 in						
	Hoorn						
	March 2009	X					
	September 2009						
	March 2010						
	September 2010						
						•	
	nputs from partners and	5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
presentations during	the meeting	++	+	+/-	-		
	December 2008 in						
	Hoorn						
	March 2009	X					
	September 2009						
	March 2010						
	Design record records and the record records and the records a						
	September 2010						
Have there been suff	icient time and	5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
possibilities for discu		++	+	+/-		1	II.a.
possionities for disce	15510115:	1	1 .	'/-			
	December 2008 in						
	Hoorn						
	March 2009	X					
	September 2009						
	March 2010						
	September 2010						
	September 2010						

Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account?		5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
Dece	ember 2008 in						
Hoor	m						
Marc	ch 2009	X					
Septe	ember 2009	1					
	ch 2010						
Septe	ember 2010						
Was the meeting agenda au	fficiently flevible to	5	1	12	2	1	
Was the meeting agenda sur	inciently hexible to	5 ++	4	3	2	1	n.a.
maten your expectations?	match your expectations?		+	+/-	-		
Dece	ember 2008 in						
Hoor							
Marc	ch 2009	X					
Septe	ember 2009	,					
	ch 2010						
Septe	ember 2010						
Dowto and vyano vyall managan d	ال مسلم المسام	<i>E</i>	1	12	12	1	10000 1000
Partners were well prepared out their tasks as agreed for		5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
out their tasks as agreed for	the meeting			7/-	-		
Dece	mber 2008 in						
Hoor	n						
Marc	h 2009	X					
Septe	ember 2009						
Marc	h 2010						
Septe	ember 2010						
After the meeting was be-	o alcon vision - f	5	1	2		1	
After the meeting you have your upcoming work tasks	a Cicai visioli oi) ++	4 +	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
your upcoming work tasks		TT	+	+/-	-		
Dece	mber 2008 in	*		,			
Hoor							
	h 2009	X					
2000E0 WEBBEET WAS	ember 2009	/ \	-				
	h 2010						
	ember 2010				-		
Septe	AIII0CI 2010						

Was the meeting seen a	as a whole appropriate	5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
and productive?		++	+	+/-	-		
	,						
]	December 2008 in						
]	Hoorn						
1	March 2009	X					
•	September 2009						
I	March 2010						
	September 2010						

General comments	
December 2008 in Hoorn	
March 2009	very interesting for us /2 would suggest
	weny interesting for us / would suggest more group work facture up to meeting, as it is very productive
September 2009	as it is very productive
March 2010	
September 2010	
-	

Suggestion for new items in	the evaluation forms
December 2008 in Hoorn	
March 2009	
September 2009	
March 2010	*
September 2010	

Have the contents of t matched?	Have the contents of the meeting been well matched?		4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	No answer
	December 2008 in						
-	Hoorn				_		
	March 2009		\times				
	September 2009						
	March 2010						
	September 2010						
Has the time for work	ing on themes and	5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
content during the me		++	+	+/-	_		11.a.
content during the me	cting occir sufficient	' '	1	'/-	-		
	D 1 2000 :			-			
	December 2008 in Hoorn						
	March 2009	_		1			
	September 2009			+		_	
	March 2010						
			-	+			
	September 2010						
Your impression of in	puts from partners and	5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
presentations during t		++	+	+/-	_		
			=	1			
	December 2008 in						
	Hoorn						
"	March 2009	X					
	September 2009						
	March 2010						
	September 2010						
L	September 2010						
		-				·	
Have there been suffice		5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
possibilities for discus	ssions?	++	+	+/-	-		
	December 2008 in	10.00					
	Hoorn						
	March 2009	V					
	September 2009		1				
	March 2010		1	1			
	September 2010						
L	September 2010						

Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account?		5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	December 2008 in						
	Hoorn March 2009						
		\times					
	September 2009 March 2010						
			-				
	September 2010						
Was the meeting age	ando gufficiently florible to	5	4	3	2	1	T
match your expectati	enda sufficiently flexible to) ++	+	 		1	n.a.
match your expectati	ions?			+ /-	-		
	December 2008 in						
	Hoorn						
	March 2009	×					
	September 2009						
	March 2010						
	September 2010						
D . 11	1 11 1 1 1		14	12		1	
	repared and had carried	5 ++	4 +	3	2	1	n.a.
out their tasks as agr	eed for the meeting			+/-	-		
	December 2008 in						
	Hoorn						
	March 2009	×					
	September 2009	/					
	March 2010						
	September 2010						
	September 2010						
	u have a clear vision of	5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
After the meeting yo your upcoming work	u have a clear vision of	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	u have a clear vision of					1	n.a.
	u have a clear vision of tasks					1	n.a.
	u have a clear vision of					1	n.a.
	u have a clear vision of tasks December 2008 in					1	n.a.
	u have a clear vision of tasks December 2008 in Hoorn March 2009					1	n.a.
	u have a clear vision of tasks December 2008 in Hoorn					1	n.a.

Was the meeting seen as a whole appropriate		5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
and productive?		++	+	+/-	-		
	December 2008 in						
	Hoorn						
	March 2009	X					
	September 2009						
	March 2010						
	September 2010						

General comments	
December 2008 in Hoorn	
March 2009	Very interesting, we are looking forward to start working with " The tools!
September 2009	
March 2010	
September 2010	

Suggestion for new items in	the evaluation forms
December 2008 in Hoorn	
March 2009	
September 2009	
March 2010	,
September 2010	