





| Have the contents matched? | of the meeting been well | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | No answer |
|--|---|------|--------|-------|-----|---|--------------|
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | V | | | | | |
| | orking on themes and meeting been sufficient | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | V | | | | | |
| Your impression of and presentations of | inputs from partners luring the meeting | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | V | | | | | · |
| Have there been sur possibilities for disc | | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | V | | | | | |
| Were your own idea into account? | s listened to and taken | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | V | | | | | |
| Was the meeting age to match your expec | enda sufficiently flexible tations? | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | V | | | | | |
| Partners were well percarried out their task meeting | | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | | | | | | |






| | After the meeting you have a clear vision of your upcoming work tasks | | 4+ | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
|----------------------|---|-----|-----|-------|-----|---|-------------|
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | V | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Was the meeting see | | 5 | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 | 1 | n.a. |
| appropriate and pro | ductive? | ** | T | +/- | | | |
| 460 | Guadeloupe 2015 | V | | | | | |
| General comments | | | | | | | |
| Guadeloupe 2015 | | | | . 4 | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Suggestion for new i | tems in the evaluation for | rms | | | | | |
| Guadeloupe 2015 | | | | | | | |






| Have the contents of matched? | of the meeting been well | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | No answer |
|---|---|------|-----|-------|-----|---|--------------|
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | X | | | | | |
| Has the time for wo | orking on themes and meeting been sufficient | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | X | | | | | |
| Your impression of and presentations of | inputs from partners luring the meeting | 5 ++ | 4+ | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | X | | | | | |
| Have there been suppossibilities for disc | | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | X | | | | | |
| Were your own idea into account? | as listened to and taken | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | X | | | | | |
| Was the meeting ago to match your expec | enda sufficiently flexible stations? | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | X | | | | | |
| Partners were well partners out their tas meeting | orepared and had ks as agreed for the | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | X | | | | | |






| 0. | ou have a clear vision of | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | n.a. |
|----------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|-------|---------------------------------------|--------------|--------|------|
| your upcoming wor | rk tasks | ++ | + | +/- | - | | |
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | X | | | | | |
| | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | I | |
| Was the meeting se | en as a whole | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | n.a. |
| appropriate and pro | oductive? | ++ | + | +/- | - | | |
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | × | | | | | |
| General comments | A very scuesfull which brought | nee. post | hive? | feedba | ch-r expe | nore ! | clau |
| Guadeloupe 2015 | | | | <u>'</u> | Calac | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | 422.0 | | | | | |
| Suggestion for new i | tems in the evaluation for | TIIS | / | | | | |
| Suggestion for new i | tems in the evaluation for | THIS | | ,, | | | |






| Have the contents of matched? | of the meeting been well | 5 ++ | 4+ | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | No answer |
|---|---|---------|-----|-------|-----|-----|--------------|
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | | | | | | |
| TY (1) C | 1: (1) | TE | T 4 | 12 | 12 | T 1 | 1 |
| | orking on themes and meeting been sufficient | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | | | | | | |
| Your impression of and presentations of | inputs from partners luring the meeting | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Have there been suf possibilities for disc | | 5 ++ | 4+ | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | | | | | | · |
| Were your own idea into account? | s listened to and taken | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | | / | | | | |
| Was the meeting age | enda sufficiently flexible | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | T1 | n.a. |
| to match your expec | | ++ | + | +/- | - | | ma. |
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | / | | | | | |
| Partners were well p carried out their task meeting | - | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | / | | | | | |






| After the meeting y your upcoming wo | ou have a clear vision of rk tasks | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3+/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
|--|---------------------------------------|------------|-------|-------|-------|-----|------|
| ······ | Guadeloupe 2015 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Was the meeting se appropriate and pr | | 5 ++ | 4+ | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | | | | | | |
| General comments | Well organi | 300 | | | | | |
| Guadeloupe 2015 | The con & | gren Sz | et or | J. L | ur 50 | lay | |
| Suggestion for new | items in the evaluation for | ·ms | | | | | |
| Subsection for new | icomo mi dio ovaradioni for | | | | | | |
| Guadeloupe 2015 | | | | | | | |






| Have the contents matched? | of the meeting been well | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | No answer |
|---|---|---------|-----|-------|-----|----|--------------|
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | | X | | | | |
| II L L C | 12. | T = | 1 | | | 14 | |
| | orking on themes and meeting been sufficient | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | X | | | | | |
| Your impression of and presentations of | inputs from partners during the meeting | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | | X | | | | |
| | | | | | | | • |
| Have there been sur possibilities for disc | | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 | 1 | n.a. |
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | X | | | | | |
| Were your own idea into account? | as listened to and taken | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| <u> </u> | Guadeloupe 2015 | X | | | | | |
| Was the meeting age to match your expec | enda sufficiently flexible tations? | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | X | | | | | |
| Partners were well p carried out their tasl meeting | " | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 | 1 | n.a. |
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | | X | | | | |






| A.C. 13 | h alcouvision of | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | n.a. |
|----------------------|----------------------------|----------|---------------------|-----------|-------------|---------------------------------------|-------|
| After the meeting yo | ou have a clear vision of | 5 | 4 + |) +/- | | 1 | 11.a. |
| your upcoming wor | K tasks | ++ | T | +/- | - | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | 1./ | | | | | |
| | duduoio upo 2020 | IX. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Was the meeting see | en as a whole | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | n.a. |
| appropriate and pro | oductive? | ++ | + | +/- | _ | | |
| appropriate and pre | , audition | | | ' | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | \times | | | | | |
| | | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | | | | |
| | 1 | 0 11 | 10500 | 100 | A tho | | b - 0 |
| General comments | A very positive | | espy | 1) C C | D JU | Coy | ersec |
| | regular | nex | volls | | | | |
| 0 11 2015 | | | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| Guadeloupe 2015 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | · | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Suggestion for new | items in the evaluation fo | rms | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Guadeloupe 2015 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |





| Have the contents of the meeting been well matched? | | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | No answer |
|--|--|------|-----|-------|-----|---|--------------|
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | X | | | | | |
| | rking on themes and meeting been sufficient | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 | 1 | n.a. |
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | X | | | | | |
| Your impression of inputs from partners and presentations during the meeting | | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | X | | | | | |
| Have there been suf possibilities for disc | | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | 入 | | | | | |
| Were your own idea into account? | is listened to and taken | 5 ++ | 4+ | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| <u> </u> | Guadeloupe 2015 | X | | | | | |
| Was the meeting ago to match your expec | enda sufficiently flexible stations? | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| <u> </u> | Guadeloupe 2015 | X | | | | | |
| Partners were well pearried out their tas meeting | orepared and had ks as agreed for the | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | X | | | | | |






| After the meeting you have a clear vision of your upcoming work tasks | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
|---|------|-----|-------|-----|---|------|
| Guadeloupe 2015 | X | | | | | |

| Was the meeting see appropriate and pro | | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
|---|-----------------|------|-----|-------|-----|---|------|
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | X | | | | | |


| General comments | |
|------------------|--|
| Guadeloupe 2015 | The whole week was very productive and emuiching. Things went well in a respectful atmosphere. |

| Suggestion for new iten | s in the evaluation forms | |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| Guadeloupe 2015 | | |
| | | |






| Have the contents of the m matched? | eeting been well | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | No answer |
|--|------------------|------|-----|-------|-----|---|--------------|
| Guade | loupe 2015 | | | | | | |
| Has the time for working or content during the meeting | | 5 ++ | 4 + | | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| Guade | loupe 2015 | | | | | | |
| Your impression of inputs f and presentations during th | _ | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| Guade | loupe 2015 | | | | | | |
| Have there been sufficient t possibilities for discussions | | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| Guade | loupe 2015 | | | | | | |
| Were your own ideas listend into account? | ed to and taken | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 | 1 | n.a. |
| Guadel | oupe 2015 | | | | | | |
| Was the meeting agenda suf to match your expectations? | | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| Guadel | oupe 2015 | | | | | | |
| Partners were well prepared carried out their tasks as agrimeeting | | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| Guadel | oupe 2015 | | | | | | |






| After the meeting y | ou have a clear vision of | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | n.a. |
|---------------------------------------|---|--|-----------|----------------|------|---------|-------------|
| your upcoming work tasks | | ++ | + | +/- | - | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | | <u></u> | | | | |
| | Guadeloupe 2013 | 1 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Was the meeting se | en as a whole | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | n.a. |
| appropriate and pro | oductive? | ++ | + | +/- | - | | |
| | | / | 1 | | | | |
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| General comments | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Guadeloupe 2015 | A Mary Cons | ash | J 20 | nlever | ıcı | and. | alot |
| | 1 1 1 | 1 | | 1 | 00 | | from |
| | Of interest | 100 | n g | naace | oup | 1 | , , , , , , |
| | Trachers | , pec | pli' | in the | c 7. | Bar 130 | <i>1</i> |
| | Dector an | $d' \circ f$ | ficia | 6 wh | o a | re int | weste |
| | | - 11 | 0 - | 1. 4 | 0.00 | u isi | |
| | in derlo | erus. | Ra | u = 10 | 1150 | | |
| | A very sur of interest Fractions Sector an in develop | pus | RA | u - 10, | Guas | delou | pe - |
| Suggestion for new | | | RA | <u>u - 10,</u> | Gua | delou | pe- |
| Suggestion for new | items in the evaluation for | | <u>ka</u> | UL - 10, | Guas | delou | pe_ |
| Suggestion for new | | | Ra. | UL - 10, | Guas | delou | pe- |
| Suggestion for new Guadeloupe 2015 | | | - KA | U - 10, | Guae | de lou | pe_ |
| | | | .ca | u - 10, | Guas | delou | pe_ |






| Have the contents of matched? | Have the contents of the meeting been well matched? | | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | No answer |
|--|---|---|-----|-------|-----|---|--------------|
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | V | | | | | |
| | rking on themes and meeting been sufficient | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | 11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11- | | | | | |
| Your impression of and presentations of | inputs from partners luring the meeting | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | | | _ | | | |
| Have there been suf possibilities for disc | | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | | | | | | |
| Were your own idea into account? | as listened to and taken | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | Casa- | | | | | |
| Was the meeting ag to match your expec | enda sufficiently flexible ctations? | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | | | | | | |
| Partners were well carried out their tas meeting | prepared and had ks as agreed for the | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | | + | | | | |






| 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 | 1 | n.a. |
|---------|---------|------------------|--------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|
| | | | | | |
| - | • | | | | |
| | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| 5 ++ | 4 + | 3+/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | | | | | |
| n/ | Cong | Fores | ice. | | |
| | / | | | | = ed. |
| | 5 ++ | 5 4 ++ + N Rang | 5 4 3 +/- 1 | 5 4 3 2 ++ + + +/ | 5 4 3 2 1 |

| Suggestion for new items | in the evalua | tion forms | | |
|--------------------------|----------------|------------|--|--|
| Guadeloupe 2015 | | | | |
| | and the second | und file | | |





| Have the contents of matched? | of the meeting been well | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | No answer |
|---|--|------|-----|-------|-----|---|--------------|
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | ~ | | | | | |
| Has the time for wo | rking on themes and meeting been sufficient | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | * | | | | | |
| Your impression of and presentations d | inputs from partners luring the meeting | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | X | | | | | |
| Have there been suf possibilities for disc | | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | W. | | | | | |
| Were your own idea into account? | as listened to and taken | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | K | | | | | |
| Was the meeting ago to match your expec | enda sufficiently flexible ctations? | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | × | | | | | |
| Partners were well partners out their tas meeting | orepared and had ks as agreed for the | 5 ++ | 4+ | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | K | | | | · | |






| After the meeting you have a clear vision of | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | n.a. |
|---|------|-----|-------|-----|---|------|
| your upcoming work tasks | ++ | + | +/- | - | | |
| Guadeloupe 2015 | | × | | | | |
| | | | | • | | |
| Was the meeting seen as a whole appropriate and productive? | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| Guadeloupe 2015 | *** | | | | | |
| General comments | | | | | | |
| Guadeloupe 2015 | | | | | | i v |


| deneral comments | |
|------------------|--|
| Guadeloupe 2015 | The meeting uses so success. The partici- pants took & very active part in the workshops - we wish we could have prove gite or restourant owners of the meeting- |
| | S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S |

| Suggestion for new items in | the evaluation forms |
|-----------------------------|----------------------|
| Guadeloupe 2015 | |






| Have the contents of matched? | of the meeting been well | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | No answer |
|---|---|---------|-----|-------|-----|---|--------------|
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | X | | | | | |
| | orking on themes and meeting been sufficient | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | X | | | | | |
| Your impression of and presentations of | inputs from partners luring the meeting | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | X | | | | | |
| Have there been sur possibilities for disc | | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | X | | | | | |
| Were your own idea into account? | as listened to and taken | 5 ++ | 4+ | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | X | | | | | |
| Was the meeting ago to match your expec | enda sufficiently flexible ctations? | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | X | | | | | |
| Partners were well partners out their tas meeting | prepared and had ks as agreed for the | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | X | | | | | |






| | ou have a clear vision of | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | n.a. |
|--------------------|-----------------------------|----|---|-----|---|-----|------|
| your upcoming wo | rk tasks | ++ | + | +/- | - | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | X | | | | | |
| | | | | | | 1 | |
| Was the meeting se | | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | n.a. |
| appropriate and pr | oductive? | ++ | + | +/- | - | | |
| | 0.11 | | | | | | _ |
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | X | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | W-1 |
| General comments | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Guadeloupe 2015 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | • | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Suggestion for new | items in the evaluation for | ms | | | | 200 | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Guadeloupe 2015 | | | | | | | |
| _ | | | | | | | |
| | 1 | | | | | | |






| Have the contents of the meeting been well matched? | | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | No answer |
|---|--|------|-----|-------|-----|---|--------------|
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | / | | | | | |
| Has the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficient | | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | | , | | | | |
| Your impression of inputs from partners and presentations during the meeting | | 5 ++ | 4+ | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | V | | | | | |
| Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions? | | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | | | | | | |
| Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account? | | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | | | | | | |
| Was the meeting age to match your expec | enda sufficiently flexible stations? | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | | | | | | |
| Partners were well p carried out their task meeting | orepared and had ks as agreed for the | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | | | | | | |






| After the meeting you have a clear vision of your upcoming work tasks | | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | n.a. |
|---|----------------------------|---------------|-----|-------|-------|------|------|
| | | ++ | + | +/- | - | | |
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| TAT AT | | | | 12 | 12 | T 4 | |
| Was the meeting seen as a whole appropriate and productive? | | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | | | | | | |
| General comments | it's was a | SM | at | wee | h - 4 | J. T | han |
| | | | | | | | |
| Guadeloupe 2015 | | | | | | | |
| Guadeloupe 2015 | | | | | | | |
| Guadeloupe 2015 | | | | | | | |
| Guadeloupe 2015 | | | | | | | |
| | tems in the evaluation for | rms | | | | | |






| Have the contents of the meeting been well matched? | | 5++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | No answer |
|---|--|----------|-----|-------|-----|---|--------------|
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | <u>y</u> | | | | | |
| Has the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficient | | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | R | | | | | |
| Your impression of inputs from partners and presentations during the meeting | | 5 ++ | 4+ | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | X | | | | | |
| Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions? | | 5 ++ | 4+ | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | | | | | | |
| Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account? | | 5 ++ | 4+ | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | | | | | | |
| Was the meeting ago to match your expec | enda sufficiently flexible ctations? | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | -L | | | | | |
| Partners were well partners out their tas meeting | prepared and had ks as agreed for the | 5 ++ | 4+ | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | 1 | | | | | |






| After the meeting you have a clear vision of your upcoming work tasks | | 5++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
|---|-----------------------------|------|-----|-------|-----|------|------|
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | 1 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Was the meeting se appropriate and pr | | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | 7 | | | | | |
| General comments | | | | | | | |
| Guadeloupe 2015 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Suggestion for new | items in the evaluation for | rms | | | | | |
| Guadeloupe 2015 | | | | | | 44.4 | |





| Have the contents of the meeting been well matched? | | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | No answer |
|--|---|------|-----|-------|-----|----|--------------|
| L | Guadeloupe 2015 | X | | | | | |
| II - the time for your | - ul-iu a au th au aa au d | 5 | | 3 | 2 | 1 | no |
| | orking on themes and meeting been sufficient | 5 ++ | 4 + | +/- | - | | n.a. |
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | X | | | | | |
| Your impression of inputs from partners and presentations during the meeting | | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | 2 | | | | | |
| | CC | Т- | T 4 | | | 14 | |
| Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions? | | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | R | | | | | |
| Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account? | | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | 2 | | | | | |
| Was the meeting ag | enda sufficiently flexible | 5 | 14 | 3 | 2 | 1 | n.a. |
| to match your expec | | ++ | + | +/- | - | | II.a. |
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | 2 | | | | | |
| Partners were well period out their tas meeting | - | 5 | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | 2 | | | | | |





| After the meeting your upcoming wor | ou have a clear vision of k tasks | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------|-----|-------|-----|------|------|
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | X | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Was the meeting sea | | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | Guadeloupe 2015 | X | | | | | |
| General comments | | | | | | | |
| Guadeloupe 2015 | the c | welco | Me | Was | W | arm | and |
| | the corgan. | Sed | | The | · C | orf | |
| | went | Jer | 5 | vel | | 9000 | Lito |
| | the condition for | una c | | | | | - ' |
| Suggestion for new i | items in the evaluation for | rms | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |