Have the contents of the meeting been well natched?		4+	3+/-	2	1	No answer
March 2010	/ \					
Has the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficient	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2	1_	n.a.
March 2010						
Your impression of inputs from partners and presentations during the meeting	5 ++ ×	4 +	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
March 2010	Y					
Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions?	5 ++	4 +	3+/-	2	1	n.a.
March 2010	110000					
Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account?	5 ++ ×	4	3	2	1	n.a.
March 2010	//					
Was the meeting agenda sufficiently flexible to match your expectations?	5	4 +	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
March 2010	.0					
Partners were well prepared and had carried out their tasks as agreed for the meeting	5 -	4 +	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
March 2010	I.					

After the meeting you have a clear vision of our upcoming work tasks		5 ++ ×	4	3 +/-	2	-	n.a.
	March 2010	/ /					
Was the meeting s and productive?	Was the meeting seen as a whole appropriate and productive?		4 +	3+/-	2	1	n.a.
	March 2010	6333					
General comments							
March 2010							
	1						
		400					
Suggestion for nev	v items in the evaluation for	ns					
Suggestion for nev	v items in the evaluation form	ns					
\$166	v items in the evaluation form	ns					
\$166	v items in the evaluation for	ns					
\$166	v items in the evaluation form	ns					
\$166	v items in the evaluation for	ns					
\$166	v items in the evaluation form	ns					
Suggestion for nev March 2010	v items in the evaluation for	ns					
\$1765	v items in the evaluation form	ns					
\$1765	v items in the evaluation form	ns					
\$166	v items in the evaluation form	ns					
\$166	v items in the evaluation form	ns					

Have the contents of the meeting been well matched?		4+	3+/-	2	1-	No answer
March 2010	1					
Has the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficient	5	4 +	3+/-	2	1	n.a.
March 2010	/					
Your impression of inputs from partners and presentations during the meeting	5	4 +	3+/-	2	1	n.a.
March 2010		1				
Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions?	5 ++	4+	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
March 2010	1					
Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account?	5 ++	4+	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
March 2010	1	N.				
Was the meeting agenda sufficiently flexible to match your expectations?	5	4	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
March 2010	1					
Partners were well prepared and had carried out their tasks as agreed for the meeting	5 -	4 +	3+/-	2	1	n.a.
March 2010		1	1			

After the meeting you have a clear vision of our upcoming work tasks		5 ++	4+	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
	March 2010	V					
Was the meeting so and productive?	een as a whole appropriate	5 ++	4+	3+/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	March 2010	1					
General comments		11.					- 10
		_					
Suggestion for new	items in the evaluation form	ns					
Suggestion for new March 2010	items in the evaluation for	ns					

Have the contents of the meeting been well matched?		4 +	3 +/-	2	1	No answer
March 2010		X				
Has the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficient	5	4 +	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
March 2010		X				
Your impression of inputs from partners and presentations during the meeting	5	4 +	3+/-	2	1	n.a.
March 2010		X				
Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions?	5	4 +	3	2	1	n.a.
March 2010		X				
Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account?	5	4+	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
March 2010		X	4			
Was the meeting agenda sufficiently flexible to match your expectations?	5	4 +	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
March 2010		X				
Partners were well prepared and had carried out their tasks as agreed for the meeting	5 -	4 +	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
March 2010			X			

After the meeting you have a clear vision of your upcoming work tasks	(5)	4	3+/-	2	1	n.a.
March 2010	X					
Was the meeting seen as a whole appropriate and productive?	5	4 +	3+/-	2	1	n.a.
March 2010		X				
General comments		The state of				
March 2010						
l l						
Suggestion for new items in the evaluation form	ns					
	ns					
Suggestion for new items in the evaluation form	ns					
	ns					
	ns					
	ns					
	ns					
	ns					

Have the contents of the meeting been well matched?		4 +	3 +/-	2	1	No answer
March 2010		X				
Has the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficient	5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
March 2010		X				
Your impression of inputs from partners and presentations during the meeting	5 ++	4	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
March 2010		X				
Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions?	5 ++	4+	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
March 2010		X				
Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account?	5	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
March 2010		X	40			
Was the meeting agenda sufficiently flexible to match your expectations?	5	4 +	3	2	1.	n.a.
March 2010		X				
Partners were well prepared and had carried out their tasks as agreed for the meeting	5 ++	4	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
March 2010			X			

fter the meeting you have a clear vision of our upcoming work tasks		5	4	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
	March 2010	X					
Was the meeting see and productive?	en as a whole appropriate	5	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	March 2010		X				
General comments		V11					
Suggestion for new	items in the evaluation form	ns					
Suggestion for new March 2010	items in the evaluation for	ms					
F800	items in the evaluation form	ms					

Have the contents of the meeting been well matched?		4	3+/-	2	1	No answer
March 2010	1000,000					
Has the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficient	5 ++ X	4 +	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
March 2010						
Your impression of inputs from partners and presentations during the meeting	5	4	3+/-	2	1	n.a.
March 2010						
Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions?	5 ++ X	4 +	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
March 2010						
Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account?	5	4 + X	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
March 2010		201				
Was the meeting agenda sufficiently flexible to match your expectations?	5	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
March 2010						
Partners were well prepared and had carried out their tasks as agreed for the meeting	5 ++ ×	4	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
March 2010				70		

After the meeting you have a clear vision of our upcoming work tasks		5 ++	4+	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
M	larch 2010						
Was the meeting seen as and productive?	a whole appropriate	5 ++ X	4+	3+/-	2	1	n.a.
M	larch 2010	0/8					
General comments			10.		216		
Getteriii comments							
March 2010							
Suggestion for new item	s in the evaluation for	ns					
Suggestion for new item	s in the evaluation for	ns					
833	s in the evaluation for	ns					
833	s in the evaluation for	ms					
88	s in the evaluation for	ns					
833	s in the evaluation for	ns					
Suggestion for new item March 2010	s in the evaluation for	ns					
88	s in the evaluation for	ns					
88	s in the evaluation for	ns					
833	s in the evaluation for	ms					

Have the contents of the meeting been well	5	4	3	2	1	No
matched?	++ /-	+	+/-	:5	1414	answer
March 2010	y					
Has the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficient	5	4	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
	7	100			N511-	
March 2010						
Your impression of inputs from partners and presentations during the meeting	5 ++	4+	3+/-	2	1	n.a.
March 2010						
Have there been sufficient time and	5	4	3	2		n.a.
possibilities for discussions?	++	+	+/-	2	12	1333
March 2010	V					
Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account?	5	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
March 2010	V					
Was the meeting agenda sufficiently flexible to match your expectations?	5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
March 2010						
Partners were well prepared and had carried out their tasks as agreed for the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3	2	1	n.a.
March 2010	V					

After the meeting you have a clear vision of your upcoming work tasks	5	4+	3	2	1	n.a.
March 2010	V					

Was the meeting and productive?	g seen as a whole appropriate	5	4+	3+/-	2	1	n.a.
	March 2010						

March 2010	Well organised and a good neeting as usual. The Internet connection in the Addica opportment in Avenue Louise your a few problems.
------------	---

Suggestion for new i	Suggestion for new items in the evaluation forms							
March 2010								

Have the contents of the meeting been well matched?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2	1	No answer
March 2010	&					
Has the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficient		4	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
March 2010	D	-				
Your impression of inputs from partners and presentations during the meeting	5 ++	4+	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
March 2010	8	10				
	100					
Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions?	5	4+	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
March 2010	0					
Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account?	5	4 +	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
March 2010	A					
Was the meeting agenda sufficiently flexible to match your expectations?	5 ++	4+	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
March 2010	Đ					
Partners were well prepared and had carried out their tasks as agreed for the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.

After the meeting you have a clear vision of our upcoming work tasks		5	4	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
	March 2010	0					
Was the meeting so and productive?	en as a whole appropriate	5	4	3+/-	2	1	n.a.
	March 2010	0					
General comments		7.8	711				
March 2010							
Suggestion for new	items in the evaluation form	ns					
March 2010							

Have the contents of the meeting been well matched?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2	1	No answer
March 2010	X					
Has the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficient	5	4+	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
March 2010	X					
Your impression of inputs from partners and presentations during the meeting	5	4+	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
March 2010	X					
Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions?	5	4+	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
March 2010	I X					
Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account?	5	4+	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
March 2010	X					
Was the meeting agenda sufficiently flexible to match your expectations?	5	4+	3 +/-	2	1 -	n.a.
March 2010	X					
Partners were well prepared and had carried out their tasks as agreed for the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
March 2010	V					

After the meeting you have a clear vision of our upcoming work tasks		5 ++	4+	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
	March 2010	K					
Was the meeting se	en as a whole appropriate	5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
and productive?		++	+	+/-	87	27	
	March 2010	X					
General comments							
1 2010						0	
March 2010	Comp. 1. me	ate te	الله	Speck	VYRW S		
March 2010	Compliance	usta ta	الثن	Posev	tracus		
	items in the evaluation form		الثر	Y SEV	14203		
				Y Sec. V	19203		
Suggestion for new				Y Sec. V	traces		

Have the contents of the meeting been well matched?	5 ++	4+	3+/-	2	1 -	No answer
March 2010	×					
Has the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficient	5	4	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
March 2010	×					
Your impression of inputs from partners and presentations during the meeting	5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
March 2010	×					
Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions?	5 ++	4+	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
March 2010	×					
Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account?	5 ++	4	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
March 2010	×					
Was the meeting agenda sufficiently flexible to match your expectations?	5	4+	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
March 2010	×					
Partners were well prepared and had carried out their tasks as agreed for the meeting	5 -	4	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
March 2010	×					

After the meetin your upcoming v	After the meeting you have a clear vision of our upcoming work tasks		4	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
7 dt 35							
	March 2010	×					
Was the meeting	; seen as a whole appropriate	5	4	3	2		n.a.
and productive?		++	+	+/-	3	3223	
	March 2010	×					
General commer	nts						
March 2010							
Suggestion for n	ew items in the evaluation form	ns					
Suggestion for n	ew items in the evaluation form	ns					
	ew items in the evaluation form	ns					
	ew items in the evaluation form	ns					
	ew items in the evaluation form	ns					
Suggestion for n March 2010	ew items in the evaluation form	ns					
	ew items in the evaluation form	ns					

Have the contents of the meeting been well matched?	5	4	3 +/-	2	1	No answer
March 2010	V					
Has the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficient	5	4 +	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
March 2010	V					
Your impression of inputs from partners and presentations during the meeting	5	4	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
March 2010	V					
Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions?	5	4 +	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
March 2010	V					
Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account?	5	4 +	3+/-	2	1	n.a.
March 2010	l v					
Was the meeting agenda sufficiently flexible to match your expectations?	5	4 +	3	2	1	n.a.
March 2010	V					
Partners were well prepared and had carried out their tasks as agreed for the meeting	5	4 +	3 +/-	2	1	n,a.
March 2010	V	-			-	-

After the meeting you your upcoming work	have a clear vision of tasks	5	4	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
	March 2010	\ \					
		1.6	12	12	12	1.	
Was the meeting seen and productive?	as a whole appropriate	5 ++	4	3+/-	2	12.	n.a.
	March 2010	V					
General comments							
March 2010							
Suggestion for new it	ems in the evaluation form	ne					
ouggestion for new it	ems in the evaluation for	1152					
March 2010							
March 2010							
March 2010		FI					
March 2010		FI					
March 2010		a.					
March 2010		a)					



Have the contents of the meeting been well matched?		4 +	3 +/-	2	1	No answer
March 2010	1					
Has the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficient	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
March 2010	V		1	1		
Your impression of inputs from partners and presentations during the meeting	5	4+	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
March 2010	1					
Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions?	5	4	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
March 2010	1					
Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account?	5 ++	4	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
March 2010	/					śs
Was the meeting agenda sufficiently flexible to match your expectations?	5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
March 2010	1					
Partners were well prepared and had carried out their tasks as agreed for the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
March 2010	1					

After the meeting you your upcoming work to	have a clear vision of asks	5	4	3 +/-	2		n.a.
	March 2010						
Was the meeting seen a and productive?	as a whole appropriate	5	4	3+/-	2	1	n.a.
	March 2010	V					
General comments	(T)		194				
March 2010							
	The state of the s						
Suggestion for new ite	ms in the evaluation form	ns	25111				
(15)	ms in the evaluation form	ns					
(15)	ms in the evaluation form	ms					
(15)	ms in the evaluation form	ms					
Suggestion for new ite March 2010	ms in the evaluation form	ns					
(15)	ms in the evaluation form	ns					
(15)	ms in the evaluation for	ns					

Have the contents of the meeting been well matched?		4	3 +/-	2	1	No answer
March 2010	X					
Has the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficient	5	4 +	3+/-	2	1	n.a.
March 2010	_>					
Your impression of inputs from partners and presentations during the meeting	5	4 +	3	2	1	n.a.
March 2010	X					
Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
March 2010	X			1		
Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account?	5	4+	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
March 2010	X					
Was the meeting agenda sufficiently flexible to match your expectations?	5	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
March 2010	X					
Partners were well prepared and had carried out their tasks as agreed for the meeting	5 -++	4	3 +/-	2	1.	n,a,
March 2010	X					

After the meeting you have a clear vision of your upcoming work tasks	5	4	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
March 2010		-				
Was the meeting seen as a whole appropriate and productive?	5++	4+	3+/-	2	1	n.a,
March 2010	×	-				
General comments		9.	19	90	110	231
octional commons						
March 2010						
Suggestion for new items in the evaluation for	ms					
Suggestion for new items in the evaluation for	ms					
	ms					
	ms					
	ms					
	ms					
Suggestion for new items in the evaluation for March 2010						