





Have the contents of the meeting been well anatched?	5	4	3 -/-	2	avant, marketing	No answer
Brussels 2013	/					
Has the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficient	5 *+	A constant of the constant of	3 +/-	2 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	n.a.
Brussels 2013			A desired to the second	17 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
Your impression of inputs from partners and presentations during the meeting	2 - +	4 +	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
Brussels 2013	The state of the s	<u> </u>	And the second s			
Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions?	5	4	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
Brussels 2013				T V		
Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
Brussels 2013	/		The Control of the Co			
Was the meeting agenda sufficiently flexible to match your expectations?	5 ++	4 +	3 -/-	2	1	n.a.
Brussels 2013			Action to the control of the control			refer amount out of the first
Partners were well prepared and had carried out their tasks as agreed for the meeting	5 + +	4	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
Brussels 2013		7		<u> </u>		






After the meeting your upcoming wo	vou have a clear vision of ork tasks	5 +	4	3+/-	2	1	n.a.
	Brussels 2013		Albana Control Control	Weeken 1, 1, 2	(A) The second of the second o	refus transport	
Was the meeting s appropriate and p	een as a whole roductive?	5	\$ +	*/-	2		n.a.
	Brussels 2013)			
General comment Brussels 2013	DIT IS IN GRANALO	ng pe	000	-D ~	87 M	MKE	MAS
	IT WOULD						
***************************************	MALT	· >	<u>4'</u>				
Suggestion for ne	ew items in the evaluation	forms					
Brussels 2013							

* PERMAPS MOBILE PHONES SHOULD BE TURNED OFF,
So THAT FULL ATTENTION COULD BE GIVEN TO SPEAKERS






atter the meeting	you have a clear vision of	5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
our upcoming w	ork tasks	**	+	+/-	¢urye. ★	***	i
		- Company	Taranta in the same of the sam				
	Brussels 2013	11/					- Commence of the Commence of
			<u>!</u>	<u> </u>	÷		
	2 - 2	15	4	3	2	1	n.a.
Was the meeting	seen as a whole) J	*	+/-	-		
appropriate and	productive:	in the state of th) · /		1	
		ļ		·			1
	Brussels 2013				4		
			<u>i</u>				
						<u></u>	
Brussels 2013	To the State of th						
	ew items in the evaluation	forms					
	ew items in the evaluation	forms					
	ew items in the evaluation	forms					715 92
Suggestion for n	ew items in the evaluation	forms					






lave the contents of the meeting been well a natched?	5 ++	The state of the s	3 -/-	2	1	No answer
Brussels 2013	:/			To be a supplementary of the s		
las the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficient	5 +		3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
Brussels 2013						
Your impression of inputs from partners and presentations during the meeting	5	4	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
Brussels 2013		Company of the second				
Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions?	5 ++	4 +	3+/-	2	1	n.a.
Brussels 2013	\\\\/			:		
Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account?	5	4 +	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
Brussels 2013	·/		Act of the second secon			
Was the meeting agenda sufficiently flexible to match your expectations?	le 5	4	3 +/-	2	And the state of t	n.a.
Brussels 2013	Account of the second of the s		AL PARAMETER STATE OF THE STATE	The second secon		
Partners were well prepared and had carried out their tasks as agreed for the meeting	5	A THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
Brussels 2013		/			. Conservation of the second	






fter the meeting	you have a clear vision of	5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
our upcoming wo		* +	*	+/-			and the standard
	Brussels 2013	-+ ,	/)	
Vas the meeting s	seen as a whole	5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
appropriate and p	productive?	**	College Communication (College)	-/-	es i produce de la composition della composition	• Company of the Comp	The state of the s
	Brussels 2013]_+,	7			Elin Presson.	
General comment	ts. A very positive up	veing	eikno	spirere	41xe	first c	onte.ct
	is A very positive up goed team work (ich expenence of all m	rking Similar embers	athme cay:	sphere	the	first c	ontecting and
	is A very positive us s goed team work (ich expenence dall m	r king similar cubers	athmo comp.	المارية المارية المارية المارية	the	first c	ontecting and
General comment promise of very solving feedes, r Brussels 2013	is A very positive up goed team work (ich expensive dall m	r king similar culbes	athmo name	دة المارية المارية المارية المارية	the	first c	ontecting and
Brussels 2013							APPER
Brussels 2013	ew items in the evaluation g (discharge of all mark) g (discharge of all mark)						APPER






ittae the meeting.	you have a clear vision of	5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
our upcoming wo	ork tasks	++	+	+/-	en production of the second of		7
				,	the officer of the second	, and property of the second	
	Brussels 2013			and by markets			-
	.,		1			1	
	•						
Was the meeting:	seen as a whole	5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
appropriate and I	productive?	++	+	+/-	•		
				and the second s		}	
	Brussels 2013		i i			100	
			İ	Ì			
General commen	B E 及これ	-4 5 1-6		إنك يستحدث في المناطقة	5.00	- Suc	ich ratt
azenda hom	ts Encellent mobile	1719-	مها (الأرب	My.	, •		
Brussels 2013	1	;			// <u> </u>		
Brussels 2013		······································					
Brussels 2013							
Brussels 2013							
Brussels 2013							
Brussels 2013							
Brussels 2013			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
	ew items in the evaluation						
							10000
Suggestion for n							
Suggestion for n							






Have the contents of the meeting been well matched?	5	4	3 +/-	2		No answer
Brussels 2013		<u> </u>				
Has the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficient	15++	4	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
Brussels 2013		i X]		
Your impression of inputs from partners and presentations during the meeting	5	4	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
Brussels 2013		Land of the land o				
Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
Brussels 2013	500					And the second
Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account?	5	4 +	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
Brussels 2013						
Was the meeting agenda sufficiently flexible to match your expectations?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
Brussels 2013			or and the second secon			100
Partners were well prepared and had carried out their tasks as agreed for the meeting	5	4 + 70	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
Brussels 2013					and the second	S. Desiration of the Control of the






After the meeting you have a clear vision	of 5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
our upcoming work tasks	++	-	+/-		**	
ou, apcoming work tasts			•	Who wheel of		-
Brussels 2013					We will be a second	
	<u> </u>		j	1		3
Was the meeting seen as a whole	5	<u></u> 4	3	2	1	n.a.
appropriate and productive?	++	*	+/-	*	**	
Brussels 2013		and in control of the				1
General comments		gaden i innihita na mas			***************************************	
Brussels 2013						
Suggestion for new items in the evaluat	ion forms	- Esperante de la companya della companya della companya de la companya della com	Ze	c 1.	, 2	13
			····			
Brussels 2013						




Methods

lave the contents of the matched?		5	4	3	2	1	No answer
Bruss	els 2013	✓					
Has the time for working content during the meeting	on themes and g been sufficient	5	4	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
Brus	sels 2013		/				
Your impression of inputs and presentations during	from partners the meeting	5	4 · ·	3+/-	2	T	n.a.
Bru	ssels 2013						
Have there been sufficien possibilities for discussion		5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 ·	And Space of Space of the Management	n.a.
Bru	ssels 2013			100 mm m		2000	
Were your own ideas list into account?	ened to and taken	5	4	3	7	1	n.a.
Bru	ssels 2013	/					
Was the meeting agenda to match your expectation	sufficiently flexible ons?	5	4 +	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
Bru	issels 2013				and		
Partners were well prep carried out their tasks as meeting	ared and had s agreed for the	5	4	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
Bri	ussels 2013	See House Have	\ \				






Star the meeting :	you have a clear vision of	5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
our upcoming we	ork tasks	++	+	+/-	*		
				· ·			
No.	Brussels 2013						
					-		
					1 3		
Was the meeting seen as a whole		5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
appropriate and p	ppropriate and productive?		+	+/-	•	••	\$
						Î	
	Brussels 2013			!		1	
				<u> </u>		1	
General commen	excellent	ا/ديد	cas/	. d 0-	٠ م		
		7			. .		
		ے ا	M DI	تت سيرين	•		
Brussels 2013		٠ يسم	u pi	ما موس			
Brussels 2013		٠ سم	u pi				
Brussels 2013		٠ سه	u pi				100
Brussels 2013		·	u pie				100
Brussels 2013		· <u>wa</u>	u più				
Brussels 2013		· 100	u più				
Brussels 2013		· wa	<u> </u>				
			u più				
	ew items in the evaluation		<u>ч</u> рі				
			- PI				
Suggestion for ne			- PI				
			u più				
Suggestion for ne			- PI				




Tay or the control of the three death	5 +÷	+	3 +/-	2	1	No answer
Brussels 2013		+				
Has the time for working on themes and	5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
content during the meeting been sufficient	++	+	-/-	-	***	
Brussels 2013	++					
	5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
Your impression of inputs from partners and presentations during the meeting	++	*	+/-		A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR	n.u.
Brussels 2013	++	47				
				1 2		3
Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions?	5 ++	4	3 +/-	2		n.a.
Brussels 2013	++		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	arity and the second	years of the second sec	
idealisand to and taken	T 5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account?	++	And the second s	+/-			and a promotion of the state of
Brussels 2013			The second secon	and the second s	and property of the second	
Was the meeting agenda sufficiently flexible	5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
to match your expectations?		+	+/-	The second secon		
Brussels 2013	+ +			1		
Partners were well prepared and had carried out their tasks as agreed for the meeting	5 ++	Que control of the co	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
Brussels 2013		۱	-			**************************************
•						






After the meeting	you have a clear vision of	5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
our upcoming we	ork tasks	+ +	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-/-			S. Service Control of the Control of
	Brussels 2013	++				-	A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR
		<u> </u>					
Was the meeting s	seen as a whole	5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
appropriate and productive?		**	÷	+/-	-		
	Brussels 2013		+	\ \ 			
General comment	:S				······································		
Brussels 2013							
	wegge serverify						
Suggestion for ne	ew items in the evaluation	forms					
Brussels 2013		<u></u>					
Brussels 2013							.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,





lave the contents of the meeting been well		4	3 +/-	2	1	No answer
natched?		•				
Brussels 2013						
las the time for working on themes and	5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
ontent during the meeting been sufficient	÷ ÷	*	+/-	•	••	
Brussels 2013	1			- Committee of the Comm		
Your impression of inputs from partners and presentations during the meeting	5	+	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
Brussels 2013	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \					
Have there been sufficient time and	5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
possibilities for discussions?	++	+	+/-	(p.) 100 ye	The state of the s	eggs og er i grande blin strævensta
Brussels 2013	1		V	and the state of t	and the second second	. A summer and
Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account?	5 ++	4	3 +/-	-	1	n.a.
Brussels 2013		WAY I AND AND A SECOND AND A SECOND ASSESSMENT ASSESSME		() () () () () () () () () () () () () (
Was the meeting agenda sufficiently flexible to match your expectations?	5	4	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
Brussels 2013		sad of the same of	en de la company			- Language Control of
Partners were well prepared and had carried out their tasks as agreed for the meeting	5 + +	4	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
Brussels 2013			-		1	






After the meeting y	you have a clear vision of	5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
your upcoming wo	rk tasks	-+	+	+/-	•	**	
			4			:	
	Brussels 2013	V			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Was the meeting s		5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
appropriate and p	roductive?	++	+	+/-	*	••	
	Brussels 2013					eth professional	and the same of th
General comments	\$						
Brussels 2013	inclusion of	₹ <u>.</u> € ₹% ****	ped	•			
	The statement from more as the control of the statement from the state						
Suggestion for nev	w items in the evaluation fo	orms					
Brussels 2013					•		
	♥ Amagini						






Have the contents of the meeting been well matched?	5 ++	4	3+/-	2	1	No answer
			·	when the manner of the second		
Brussels 2013	×	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	The second secon	ote termination		
Has the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficient	5 ++	4	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
Brussels 2013	y					
Your impression of inputs from partners and presentations during the meeting	5	+	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
Brussels 2013		×				
Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions?	5	4	3 -/-	2	1	n.a.
Brussels 2013	×					
Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
Brussels 2013	ン			A A WAR A DOCUMENT		
Was the meeting agenda sufficiently flexible to match your expectations?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2	April 10 miles (Miller and Miller) and April 10 miles (Miller) and April 10 miles (Mill	n.a.
Brussels 2013	X			2221	and a set of the set o	
Partners were well prepared and had carried out their tasks as agreed for the meeting	5	4	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
Brussels 2013	ン			-		is a second design of the second





After the meeting you have a clear vision of your upcoming work tasks		4	3	2	1	n.a.
		+	+/-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	••	
Brussels 2013	×				11.00	(m. 2) (1) (m. 2) (m. 2
Was the meeting seen as a whole appropriate and productive?	5 +÷	Winner Art U. J. Transmiss	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
Brussels 2013	×	shokerini dan kataman		and grant of the property was property and the property of the		
G .	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		:			
Leberal commonte : c.	4					
performed, however - I would e	neet x pect	ng;	il we Nearer	يان د. سيزان	ecy .	uell serbu
General comments For a kick off performed, however - I would e Brussels 2013 for response weeking in clearly in	neet A pect South pla. A	ng a c hes that	il we Heaver a clem these	is of otion base	from by	well perme next
General comments For a kick of finger formed, however - I would e Brussels 2013 for responsible for responsible action for new items in the evaluation for ne		ng Kes Het	Heaver a dem	of the control of the	from by	vell perm next



ave the contents of natched?	the meeting been well	5 + +	4	3 +/-	2	The state of the s	No answer
	Brussels 2013	++/		A Section of Control o			
las the time for wor ontent during the n	king on themes and neeting been sufficient	5 ++	4 - 4	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
	Brussels 2013	++	J.	or and a second		- 3 - 3 - 3 - 3 - 3 - 3 - 3 - 3 - 3 - 3	
Your impression of and presentations d	nputs from partners uring the meeting	5	4+	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
	Brussels 2013	+-	IJ	and the second s			
Have there been su possibilities for disc	fficient time and cussions?	5 ++	4 +	3+/-	2	1	n.a.
	Brussels 2013	4-	+/				3
Were your own ide into account?	as listened to and taker) 5 ++	4.	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
	Brussels 2013	+	+/		e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e		21 - /-/ VARIANCE
Was the meeting a to match your expe	genda sufficiently flexib ectations?	ole 5 ++	4	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
	Brussels 2013	,	<u> </u>				
Partners were well carried out their to meeting	l prepared and had asks as agreed for the	5 + 1	+	3 +/	- 2	1	n.a.
<u> </u>	Brussels 2013			/			